# NEW GENERIC SYNONYMIES IN BAETIDAE (EPHEMEROPTERA)<sup>1</sup>

R. D. Waltz<sup>2</sup>, W. P. McCafferty<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT: Several substantive errors in the classification of the family Baetidae have resulted from revisions of mayflies identified as Baetis. Revised synonymies of the valid genera Alainites, Baetiella, Baetis, Diphetor, Labiobaetis, Nigrobaetis, and Takobia are provided and include necessary new synonyms as follows: Alainites [= Baetis (Acerbaetis), n. syn.]; Baetiella [= Baetis (Tenuibaetis), n. syn.]; Baetiella [= Baetis (Tenuibaetis), n. syn.]; and Nigrobaetis [= Baetis (Margobaetis), n. syn.]. Species name emendations include Alainites atagonis, n. comb., Alainites clivosus, n. comb., Alainites chocoratus, n. comb., Alainites florens, n. comb., Alainites talasi, n. comb., Alainites yoshinoensis, n. comb.; Baetiella ardua, n. comb., Baetiella inornata, n. comb. (and corrected orthography), Baetiella pseudofrequenta, n. comb., Labiobaetis morus, n. comb.; Nigrobaetis facetus, n. comb., Nigrobaetis gombaki, n. comb., Nigrobaetis gracilentus, n. comb., Nigrobaetis mirabilis, n. comb., Nigrobaetis mundus, n. comb., Nigrobaetis taiwanensis, n. comb., Nigrobaetis terminus, n. comb., Takobia acuticostalis, n. comb., Takobia kogistani, n. comb., and Takobia solangensis, n. comb.

Kang et al. (1994) published five new subgenera and 13 new species of Baetidae from Taiwan that they placed in the genus Baetis Leach. Novikova and Kluge (1994) provided several new recombinations and descriptions of two new species within their concept of Baetis (Nigrobaetis). Baetis has been the subject of other revisionary efforts that have included Müller-Liebenau (1970) (Europe); Morihara and McCafferty (1979a), Waltz and McCafferty (1987a), and McCafferty and Waltz (1990) (North America); Novikova and Kluge (1987) (Palearctic); and Waltz et al. (1994) and McCafferty and Waltz (1995) (world).

Possession of the villopore, located at the base of the larval femora was found by Waltz and McCafferty (1987a, 1987b) and McCafferty and Waltz (1990) to be a significant synapomorphy uniting a number of genera of Baetidae. This monophyletic grouping of genera is presently referred to as the *Baetis* complex (see e.g., Waltz et al. 1994, Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty 1996) and includes Acentrella Bengtsson, Baetiella Uéno, Baetis, Barbaetis Waltz and McCafferty, Cymulabaetis McCafferty and Waltz, Gratia Thomas, Heterocloeon McDunnough, Labiobaetis Novikova and Kluge, Liebebiella Waltz and McCafferty, Platybaetis Müller-Liebenau, many (but not all) Pseudocloeon sensu auctt., and Tanzaniella Gillies. In addition to having the unique villopore, all

ENT. NEWS 108(2): 134-140, March & April, 1997

<sup>1</sup> Received July 29, 1996. Accepted August 3, 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> IDNR, Division of Entomology and Plant Pathology, 402 West Washington, Room W-290, Indianapolis, IN 46204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Department of Entomology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907.

members of the *Baetis* complex have lost the plesiotypic cluster of bristles located between the incisors and mola of the mandibles. Species that were formerly classified in *Baetis*, but that are not members of the *Baetis* complex have obviously required reclassification into other genera, including some new genera. Highly distinctive and monophyletic lineages within the *Baetis* complex, many with species that were also once known as *Baetis*, have been recognized as the various genera listed above.

Kang et al. (1994) were evidently not aware of concepts and genus group names that were already established, beginning in 1987, for elements of the formerly broad and polyphyletic concept of Baetis. Thus, most species described from Taiwan, in various new subgenera of Baetis, by Kang et al. (1994) are actually members of other presently recognized genera. All subgenera described by Kang and Yang in Kang et al. (1994) are junior synonyms of nominal genera, including both Baetis complex and non-Baetis complex genera. Novikova and Kluge (1994) retained a highly conservative and polyphyletic concept of the genus Baetis, including a broad subgeneric grouping they identified as the subgenus Nigrobaetis, which incorporated elements of Nigrobaetis s.str., Alainites Waltz and McCafferty, Diphetor Waltz and McCafferty, and Takobia Novikova and Kluge. The bases of each of these genera were addressed by Waltz et al. (1994). Necessary corrections to the Kang et al. (1994) and Novikova and Kluge (1994) works by way of new generic synonymies and short discussions of the pertinent genera follow.

## Alainites Waltz and McCafferty

Baetis gracilis group Müller-Liebenau, 1970:174, in part.
Baetis muticus group Müller-Liebenau, 1974:34.
Alainites Waltz and McCafferty, in Waltz et al., 1994:34 [type, Baetis muticus Linn., 1758].
Baetis (Acerbaetis) Kang and Yang, in Kang et al., 1994:35 [type, Baetis clivosus Chang and Yang, 1994], n. syn.
Baetis (Nigrobaetis) muticus group: Novikova and Kluge, 1994: 630.

Alainites was characterized by Waltz et al. (1994) and separated from other genera of the Baetis complex by tergal setae and armature characteristics previously discussed by Müller-Liebenau (1970) and by the apomorphic development of special paraproct prolongation (see Waltz et al., 1994:34). Species included in Acerbaetis Kang and Yang possess all of these characteristics and clearly belong to Alainites. Alainites atagonis (Gose), n. comb., A. chocoratus (Gose) n. comb., A. clivosus (Chang and Yang), n. comb., A. florens (Imanishi), n. comb., A. talasi (Novikova and Kluge), n. comb., A. yehi (Kang and Yang), n. comb., and A. yoshinoensis (Gose), n. comb., should be added to the list of Alainites species given by Waltz et al. (1994). Placement of A. atagonis is based

on adult morphology. Alainites is widely distributed throughout the Palearctic, the Mediterranean/northern Africa area, and parts of southeast and east Asia, including southern China and Taiwan.

Both the Kang et al. (1994) and the Waltz et al. (1994) papers bear December, 1994 publication dates. Based on International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) convention, the priority of names published with contemporaneous issue dates must be resolved on the basis of date of availability. The genus Alainites description in the Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de Toulouse, with an issue date of December, 1994 (which by ICZN convention is regarded as December 31, 1994), was distributed and met the criteria of availability on January 15, 1995, as confirmed by editors of the journal. This was prior to the verifiable availability date of April 15, 1995, for the Acerbaetis description in the Journal of the Taiwan Museum, with an issue date of December 31, 1994. This was confirmed by the Assistant Curator of the Taiwan Museum. The name Alainites therefore met the criteria of availability approximately three months prior to the date of availability of the name Acerbaetis. (see also Acknowledgments).

### Baetiella Uéno

Baetiella Uéno 1931:220 [type, Acentrella japonica Imanishi, 1930].

Pseudocloeon Klapálek, in part: Bogoescu and Tabacaru, 1957:483.

Pseudocloeon (Baetiella): Kazlauskas, 1963:318 (English version pagination).

Neobaetiella Müller-Liebenau, 1985:103 [type, Neobaetiella uenoi Müller-Liebenau, 1985], synonymized by Waltz and McCafferty 1987b: 561.

Baetis (Baetiella): Novikova and Kluge, 1987:16.

Baetiella: Waltz and McCafferty, 1987b:561.

Baetis (Tenuibaetis) Kang and Yang, in Kang et al., 1994:26 [type, Baetis pseudofrequentus Müller-Liebenau, 1985], n. syn.

The genus Baetiella was most recently characterized by Waltz and McCafferty (1987b) to include those species of the Baetis complex that have an elongate segment 2 and conical segment 3 of the labial palps, among other distinctive characteristics. Species placed in Tenuibaetis by Kang et al. (1994) possess the characteristics of Baetiella. Species included in the genus were listed by Waltz and McCafferty (1987b). Baetiella ardua (Kang and Yang), n. comb., B. inornata (Kang and Yang), n. comb., and B. pseudofrequenta (Müller-Liebenau), n. comb., should be added to that list. The species name Baetis (Tenuibaetis) inornaturs Kang and Yang, was a lapsis calami, obvious from the etymology given for the species and figure citations accompanying the description (Kang et al. 1994). Baetiella is Palearctic and Oriental in distribution.

### **Baetis** Leach

Baetis Leach, 1815:137 [type, Ephemera fuscata Linn., 1761].
Brachyphlebia Westwood, 1840:25 [type, Ephemera fuscata Linn., 1761].
Baetis (Tatubaetis) Kang and Yang, in Kang et al., 1994:23 [type, Baetis tatuensis Müller-Liebenau, 1985], n. syn.

The genus Baetis is a member of the Baetis complex, and because B. fuscatus is the type species, the genus is most typified by the B. fuscatus species group (Müller-Liebenau 1970). Baetis also includes species of the rhodani and vernus groups in the Holarctic region (see Müller-Liebenau 1970 and Morihara and McCafferty 1979a) as well as possibly certain species from non-Holarctic parts of the world that have yet to be associated with any species groups. Based on body coloration, mouthpart characteristics (esp. of the labium and maxillae), tergal armature, and setation of the legs, B. tatuensis is clearly a member of the B. fuscatus group. Baetis tatuensis is therefore a member of Baetis sensu stricto and should not be placed in a separate subgenus Tatubaetis as was done by Kang et al. (1994). Species currently classified in Baetis from sub-Saharan Africa, South America, and Australia require additional study before they can be confirmed to be members of the genus. As a result, the only recently up to date and confirmed listing of Baetis species for a large geographic area is for North America (see McCafferty 1996).

## Diphetor Waltz and McCafferty

Diphetor Waltz and McCafferty, 1987:669 [type, Baetis hageni Eaton, 1885]. Baetis (Nigrobaetis): Novikova and Kluge, 1994:627.

The distinctiveness of *Diphetor* from other described taxa was presented by Waltz et al. (1994). The genus is known from three species in North America and Algeria as listed by Waltz et al. (1994). The placement of species of *Diphetor* (a non-*Baetis* complex genus) in a subgenus of *Baetis* by Novikova and Kluge (1994) is untenable.

## Labiobaetis Novikova and Kluge

Baetis atrebatinus group Müller-Liebenau, 1970:150. Baetis propinquus group Morihara and McCafferty, 1979b:130.

Baetis molawinensis group Müller-Liebenau, 1984:260.

Baetis (Labiobaetis) Novikova and Kluge, 1987:13 [type, Baetis atrebatinus Eaton, 1870].

Labiobaetis: McCafferty and Waltz, 1995:20.

Baetis (Müllerbaetis) Kang and Yang, in Kang et al., 1994:32 [type, Baetis molawinensis Müller-Liebenau, 1982], n. syn.

The genus Labiobaetis is a member of the Baetis complex of genera and was most recently characterized by McCafferty and Waltz (1995). Synapomorphies defining the genus include, among others, the excavate tip of the maxillary palps and usually the notched antennal segment 1. McCafferty and Waltz (1995) indicated that the Oriental Baetis molawinensis group, originally recognized by Müller-Liebenau (1984), clearly belonged to Labiobaetis. Kang et al. (1994) considered 11 previously described Oriental species in the subgenus Müllerbaetis (type, B. molawinensis). These are all species of Labiobaetis and were listed as such by McCafferty and Waltz (1995), along with all other species of the genus. The Taiwan species L. morus (Chang and Yang), n. comb., should be added to the list of known species of this Holarctic and Oriental genus.

## Nigrobaetis Novikova and Kluge

Baetis niger group Müller-Liebenau, 1970:163.

Baetis gracilis group Müller-Liebenau, 1970:174, in part.

Baetis (Nigrobaetis) Kazlauskas: Novikova and Kluge, 1987:8 [type, Ephemera nigra Linn., 1761].

Nigrobaetis Novikova and Kluge: Waltz, McCafferty and Thomas, 1994:34.

Baetis (Margobaetis) Kang and Yang, in Kang et al., 1994:11 [type, Baetis mundus Chang and Yang, 1994], n. syn.

Baetis (Nigrobaetis): Novikova and Kluge, 1994: 627, in part.

Nigrobaetis is a non-Baetis complex genus that was most recently characterized by Waltz et al. (1994). Species from Taiwan assigned to Margobaetis by Kang et al. (1994) demonstrate Nigrobaetis generic characteristics, and thus, Margobaetis must be placed as a junior synonym of Nigrobaetis. The genus is known from the Holarctic and Oriental regions, and species included were listed by Waltz et al. (1994). To that list the following species should now be added: N. facetus (Chang and Yang), n. comb., N. gombaki (Müller-Liebenau), n. comb., N. gracilentus (Chang and Yang), n. comb., N. mirabilis (Müller-Liebenau), n. comb., N. mundus (Chang and Yang), n. comb., N. numidicus (Soldán and Thomas), n. comb., N. taiwanensis (Müller-Liebenau), n. comb., and N. terminus (Chang and Yang), n. comb. Novikova and Kluge (1987,1994) incorrectly ascribed the name Nigrobaetis to Kazlauskas when in fact, by rules of nomenclature, they are recognized as the inadvertent authors of the genus group name. Novikova and Kluge (1994) also incorrectly placed species of Diphetor and certain species of Alainites and Takobia in Nigrobaetis. That concept is polyphyletic because it includes species of both the Baetis complex and non-Baetis complex. In any case, Nigrobaetis is not a subgenus of Baetis.

## Takobia Novikova and Kluge

Baetis (Takobia) Novikova and Kluge 1987:10 [type, Centroptilum maxillare Braasch and Soldán, 1983].

Takobia: Waltz, McCafferty, and Thomas, 1994:35.

Baetis (Nigrobaetis) maxillaris group Novikova and Kluge, 1994:630.

The genus *Takobia* and its systematic status was discussed by Waltz et al. (1994). Novikova and Kluge (1994) regarded it as part of a subgenus *Nigrobaetis* of the genus *Baetis*. We regard *Takobia* as a distinct taxon at the genus level. Additional species to those listed by Waltz et al. (1994) include *Takobia acuticostalis* (Dubey), **n. comb.**, *T. kogistani* (Novikova and Kluge), **n. comb.**, and *T. solangensis* (Dubey), **n. comb.** The genus *Takobia* is known from Central Asia including the western Himalayas. The terminal segment of the male forceps is elongated and not spherical. This is a correction to the description of the male genitalia reported in Waltz et al. (1994) and should be noted.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank A. Thomas (Toulouse) for confirming dates of availability at the Paris Museum and with the publisher of Bulletin de la Société Histoire Naturelle de Toulouse; Robert Skarr (Senior Reference Librarian, Smithsonian Institution Libraries, Washington, D.C.), for providing dates of receipt of the journals at the Smithsonian Institution; Chia-Hsiang Wang (Associate Curator of the Taiwan Museum) for confirming availability dates of the Bulletin of the Taiwan Museum based on date of first distribution; T.-Q. and Y. Wang (Purdue University) for their assistance in obtaining information from Taiwan. This paper has been assigned Purdue ARP Journal No. 15082.

### LITERATURE CITED

Bogoescu, C. and I. Tabacaru. 1957. Étude comparée des nymphes d'Acentrella et de Pseudocloeon, considérations phylogénétiques concernant la famille Baetidae (Ephemeroptera). Beitr. Entomol. 7: 483-492.

Kang, S.-C., H.-C. Chang, and C.-T. Yang. 1994. A revision of the genus *Baetis* in Taiwan (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae). J. Taiwan Mus. 47: 9-44.

**Kazlauskas, R.** 1963. New and little-known mayflies (Ephemeroptera) from the USSR. Entomol. Obozr. 42: 582-593. (1964. Entomol. Rev. 42: 317-321 English translation)

Leach, W. E. 1815. Entomology. Brewster's Edinburgh Encyclopedia 9: 57-172.

Lugo-Ortiz, C. R. and W. P. McCafferty. 1996. *Aturbina georgei* gen. et sp. n.: a small minnow mayfly (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae) without turbinate eyes. Aquat. Insects 18: 175-183.

McCafferty, W. P. 1996. The Ephemeroptera species of North America and index to their complete nomenclature. Trans. Am. Entomol. Soc. 122: 1-54.

McCafferty, W. P. and R. D. Waltz. 1990. Revisionary synopsis of the Baetidae (Ephemeroptera) of North and Middle America. Trans. Am. Entomol. Soc. 116: 769-799.

McCafferty, W. P. and R. D. Waltz. 1995. *Labiobaetis* (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae) new status, new North American species, and related new genus. Entomol. News 106: 19-28.

- Morihara, D. K. and W. P. McCafferty. 1979a. The *Baetis* larvae of North America (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae). Trans. Am. Entomol. Soc. 105: 139-221.
- Morihara, D. K. and W. P. McCafferty. 1979b. Systematics of the *propinquus* group of *Baetis* species (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 72: 130-135.
- Müller-Liebenau, I. 1970. Revision der Europäischen arten der gattung *Baetis* Leach, 1815 (Insecta, Ephemeroptera). Gewäss. Abwäss. 48/49 (1969): 1-214.
- Müller-Liebenau, I. 1974. Baetidae aus Südfrankreich, Spanien und Portugal (Insecta, Ephemeroptera). Gewäss. Abwäss. 53/54: 7-42.
- Müller-Liebenau, I. 1984. New genera and species of the family Baetidae from West-Malaysia (River Gombak) (Insecta: Ephemeroptera). Spixiana 7: 253-284.
- Müller-Liebenau, I. 1985. Baetidae from Taiwan with remarks on *Baetiella* Uéno, 1931 (Insecta, Ephemeroptera). Arch. Hydrobiol. 104: 93-110.
- Novikova, E. A. and N. Kluge. 1987. Systematics of the genus *Baetis* (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae) with description of a new species from Middle Asia. Vestn. Zool., 1987 (4): 8-19.
- Novikova, E.A. and N. Kluge. 1994. Mayflies of the subgenus *Nigrobaetis*. (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae, *Baetis* Leach, 1815). Entomol. Oborz. 73: 623-644. (1995. Entomol. Rev. 74: 16-39- English translation).
- Uéno, M. 1931. Contributions to the knowledge of Japanese Ephemeroptera. Annot. Zool. Japon. 13: 189-226.
- Waltz, R. D. and W. P. McCafferty. 1987a. New genera of Baetidae for some Nearctic species previously included in *Baetis* Leach (Ephemeroptera). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 80: 667-670.
- Waltz, R. D. and W. P. McCafferty. 1987b. Systematics of Pseudocloeon, Acentrella, Baetiella, and Liebebiella, new genus (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae). J. New York Entomol. Soc. 95: 553-568.
- Waltz, R. D., W. P. McCafferty, and A. Thomas. 1994. Systematics of Alainites n. gen., Diphetor, Indobaetis, Nigrobaetis n. stat., and Takobia n. stat. (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae). Bull. Nat. Hist. Soc., Toulouse 130: 33-36.
- Westwood, J. O. 1840. An introduction to the modern classification of insects, founded on the natural habits and corresponding organization of the different families. Longman, Orme, Brown, Green, and Longman, London.