





https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4979.1.6 http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:DE765987-A7BA-4715-83C6-56601E3643F1

Mayfly taxonomy (Arthropoda: Hexapoda: Ephemeroptera) during the first two decades of the twenty-first century and the concentration of taxonomic publishing

LUKE M. JACOBUS¹*, FREDERICO F. SALLES², BEN PRICE³, LYNDALL PEREIRA-DA-CONCEICOA⁴, EDUARDO DOMINGUEZ⁵, P.J. SUTER⁶, CARLOS MOLINERI⁷, TATYANA M. TIUNOVA⁸ & M. SARTORI⁹

¹Division of Science, Indiana University Purdue University Columbus, 4601 Central Avenue, Columbus, Indiana 47203, USA;

■ lukemjacobus@alumni.purdue.edu;
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7201-3922

²Museu de Entomologia, Departamento de Entomologia, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Av. P. H. Rolfs, s/n, Campus Universitário, CEP 36570-900, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil;

frederico.salles@ufv.br; https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8331-5929

³Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom;

⁴Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge, England, United Kingdom;

stps://orcid.org/0000-0001-9192-3051

⁵Neotropical Biodiversity Institute (IBN), Argentine Council of Scientific Research, National University of Tucumán, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Tucumán, Argentina;

eduardo.mayfly@gmail.com; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4201-7869

⁶Emeritus Scholar, Department of Ecology, Environment and Evolution, La Trobe University, P.O. Box 821, Wodonga, Victoria, Australia 3689; suter@latrobe.edu.au

⁷Neotropical Biodiversity Institute (IBN), Argentine Council of Scientific Research, National University of Tucumán, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Tucumán, Argentina;

carlosmolineri@gmail.com; ohttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-2662-624X

⁸Federal Scientific Center of the East Asia Terrestrial Biodiversity, Far Eastern Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, 690022, Russia;

stiunova@biosoil.ru; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9586-1502

⁹Musée cantonal de zoologie, Palais de Rumine, Place de la Riponne 6, CH-1014 Lausanne, Switzerland;

michel.sartori@vd.ch; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3397-3397

*Corresponding author

Introduction & Background

The twentieth anniversary of the first issue of *Zootaxa* (De Moraes & Freire, 2001) provides an appropriate opportunity to reflect on some trends in global Ephemeroptera taxonomy publishing over the last two decades, with a focus on the description of new species and the outsized role of the journals *Zootaxa* and *ZooKeys*, in particular. Detailed reviews of world Ephemeroptera knowledge up to about 2000 were collected in a series of nine papers from a symposium on the subject, published together in the proceedings of the ninth International Conference on Ephemeroptera (Domínguez 2001). Domínguez & Dos Santos (2014) provided updates and analysis for South America up to the year 2012. More recent detailed accounts of regional and taxonomic diversity, and other aspects of mayfly biology and ecology, were reviewed by Jacobus *et al.* (2019), while Ogden *et al.* (2019) discussed current issues involving higher classification.

The Rise of Zootaxa and ZooKeys: Concentration of Taxonomic Publishing

Since the first Ephemeroptera paper was published in *Zootaxa* on 15 May 2002 (Salles & Lugo-Ortiz, 2002), over 40% of new mayfly species have been published in *Zootaxa*. A total of 289 papers with a primary focus on Ephemeroptera have been published in *Zootaxa* since its launch (Table 1). The Ephemeroptera editors have been among the most productive editors of the journal (*e.g.*, Zhang 2014, 2015). The two most-cited papers (according to Google Scholar) are by Kluge & Novikova (2014) and Sun & McCafferty (2008), each with about 40 citations.

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-N.C. 4.0 International https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

year	number of	number of	total number	new species	new species	total number	new	new
	Zootaxa	Zookeys	of new species	in Zootaxa	in Zookeys	of new genera	genera in	genera in
	papers	papers					Zootaxa	Zookeys
before			2823			389		
2001	0		41	0		3	0	
2002	1		26	1		1	0	
2003	3		30	2		5	0	
2004	5		57	4		4	0	
2005	5		29	5		5	1	
2006	8		49	13		5	2	
2007	13		20	8		3	1	
2008	8	0	62	31		16	7	
2009	12	0	39	21		5	1	
2010	20	0	44	21		5	3	
2011	17	1	69	27	0	3	1	0
2012	11	0	33	10		5	0	
2013	20	1	48	24	0	2	0	0
2014	21	4	40	29	0	3	1	0
2015	27	5	65	43	6	4	1	2
2016	19	7	46	24	0	6	3	0
2017	26	3	57	46	4	2	0	0
2018	18	5	57	17	34	3	1	0
2019	24	8	60	25	22	2	1	0
2020	31	13	83	37	35	7	3	0
Totals	289	47	3778	388	101	478	26	2

TABLE 1. Annual tallies of papers, new species and new genera for Ephemeroptera in *Zootaxa* and *ZooKeys*; totals of new species and new genera of mayflies across all outlets also given. Fossil taxa excluded. Numbers before 2001 exclude junior synonyms, homonyms and invalid names.

In 2008, another biodiversity journal with a focus on identification and taxonomy, *ZooKeys*, was launched by Pensoft. The two journals are contrasted by very different policies regarding publishing costs. *Zootaxa* is free of charge, unless you choose to pay for your paper to have open access (freely accessible online by all). By the end of 2020, 26 Ephemeroptera papers had been published as open access in *Zootaxa*. *ZooKeys*, on the other hand, fully follows the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, which means that all papers are open access; however, authors must pay for publication. A total of 47 papers about mayflies have been published in *ZooKeys* through the end of 2020 (Table 1). The first paper in *ZooKeys* dealing with mayflies involved a fossil identified to the family level (Prokop & Nel 2011). The first paper with a focus on recent mayflies appeared in 2013 (Boonsoong & Braasch, 2013), and the first new genera and new species described in *ZooKeys* appeared on the same day in 2015 (Molineri *et al.* 2015, Shi & Tong, 2015). The two most-cited papers (according to Google Scholar) are by Vilenica *et al.* (2015) and Salur *et al.* (2016), with about 20 citations each. Both of these papers are faunistic checklists. Such checklists usually are outside the scope of manuscripts considered by the *Zootaxa* Ephemeroptera editors.

From 2015–2020, nearly four out of every five new mayfly species have been described in *Zootaxa* or *ZooKeys*. This means that mayfly scholars just need to follow two journals to be aware of roughly 80% of advances in Ephemeroptera taxonomy. This reflects a steady trend of concentration and defragmentation of taxonomic publishing (Zhang 2006, 2015). Despite the apparent competition from *ZooKeys*, more than 50% of new mayfly species descriptions since the start of 2015 have continued to appear in *Zootaxa*. Notably, more than 60% of new mayfly species appearing in *ZooKeys* over the course of its history were included in just three publications about a single hyperdiverse genus, *Labiobaetis* Novikova & Kluge 1987 (Kaltenbach & Gattolliat 2018, 2019; Kaltenbach et al. 2020).

About one-third of all new genera during the time periods detailed above have been published in *Zootaxa* (Table 1). Just the two genera mentioned previously (Molineri *et al.* 2015) have been described in *ZooKeys*.

2020: A Remarkable Year

The year 2020 was notable in that 83 new mayfly species were described across all sources (*Zootaxa*, *ZooKeys* and others); this is the highest annual total since 2000 (Table 1), and it is one of the highest ever totals (we have not yet produced good annual global tallies for previous years). Thirty-seven new species were published in *Zootaxa* during 2020 (excluding one fossil species), the highest annual total for new mayfly species in this journal (Table 1). Three new mayfly genera were described in *Zootaxa* during the year. Thirty-five new species were described in *ZooKeys*, the highest annual total (barely exceeding 34 described in 2018) (Table 1). More than one in four species described during 2020 belong to the genus *Labiobaetis* (e.g., Kaltenbach & Gattolliat 2020, Kaltenbach et al. 2020). During 2020, nearly 87% of all new mayfly species were described in just *Zootaxa* and *ZooKeys*.

Human and non-human biological diversity interactions were highlighted in 2020 by the emergence of the coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 as a global threat. In spite of the COVID-19 disease pandemic and global response that followed, 31 Ephemeroptera papers appeared in *Zootaxa*, and 13 appeared in *ZooKeys*. Even though many authors were facing restricted access to collections and offices, this is the highest annual total ever for *Zootaxa*, exceeding the previous high of 27 during 2015. This also is the highest annual total for *ZooKeys*, exceeding the previous high of eight, set in 2019 (Table 1, Figure 1).

We tallied 3,778 named species of recent mayflies worldwide at the end of 2020 (Table 1). This total excludes junior synonyms and homonyms and any invalid names; due to the subjective nature of synonyms, and disagreement among taxonomic authorities, this number should be considered a precise estimate.

Editorial Histories in Brief

The monumental concentration of work represented within the pages of *Zootaxa* and *ZooKeys* would not be possible without dedicated teams of editors.

Seven individuals have served as nominal Ephemeroptera subject editors for *Zootaxa*. Michael D. Hubbard (Florida A&M University, USA) was the first Ephemeroptera editor for *Zootaxa* and served until his death in 2012. Fred Salles (currently Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Brazil) joined in 2009 (following a heavy work load by Hubbard in 2007–2008) and has served since then with intermittent hiatus. Luke Jacobus (Indiana University Purdue University Columbus, USA) joined in 2012 (upon losing Hubbard) and currently coordinates activity among the editors. Michel Sartori (Musée Cantonal de Zoologie, Lausanne, Switzerland) joined in 2014 during a hiatus by Salles. Phil Suter (La Trobe University, Australia) joined in 2016 (after three years of steady increase in manuscripts) and retired from service in 2019. Carlos Molineri (Neotropical Biodiversity Institute (IBN) CONICET and National University of Tucumán, Argentina) and Tatyana Tiunova (Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, Russia) began their service in late 2020. A few others served as guest editors, as needed, when potential conflicts of interest were present. Ernest Barnard (University of Tennessee, USA) served as editor for at least three manuscripts in this regard.

ZooKeys has a team of three editors: Eduardo Domínguez (Neotropical Biodiversity Institute (IBN) CONICET and National University of Tucumán, Argentina) has served since 2013; Ben Price (Natural History Museum London, UK) has served since 2015; and Lyndall Pereira-da-Conceicoa (Wellcome Sanger Institute) has served since 2016.

Rates of Rejection and Revision

More than half of the mayfly manuscripts handled by *Zootaxa* editors during 2020 required major revision before they were accepted for publication. About one out of seven manuscripts received by *Zootaxa* editors were rejected, and about an equal proportion that were submitted remain unpublished, pending major revisions by the authors. We do not have long-term data for *Zootaxa* mayfly manuscripts primarily due to the loss of the first editor and also due to subsequent turnover in more recent years.



FIGURE 1. Annual numbers of Ephemeroptera papers in *Zootaxa* (blue) and *ZooKeys* (orange), 2001–2020. *Zootaxa* launched in 2001, and *ZooKeys* launched in 2008.



FIGURE 2. Annual numbers of new Ephemeroptera species published in *Zootaxa* (blue), *ZooKeys* (orange), and all other sources (gray). *Zootaxa* launched in 2001, and *ZooKeys* launched in 2008.

Over the course of *ZooKeys* publishing, four mayfly manuscripts have been rejected (under 8% rejection rate); two manuscripts required major revision before being accepted; and one was withdrawn due to challenges with processing charges.

Conclusions

For the time being, the dominance of *Zootaxa* as a source of mayfly taxonomic biodiversity information appears to be holding, and *ZooKeys* is growing. Both journals show a trend of increasing numbers of Ephemeroptera publications (Figure 1). The record numbers of manuscripts detailing new taxa during 2020 may have been due, at least in part, to time and effort diverted from other areas of work restricted by the global response to COVID-19. Also, more new taxa are being described from parts of Asia that have been long-neglected (e.g., India). Studies of the genus *Labiobaetis* have contributed to recent species totals and publishing trends in a major way.

The relatively low rejection and revision rates over time for both journals are due to a combination of factors, including strong collaborations between experienced and new researchers, extra efforts by editors and reviewers to improve manuscripts (sometimes over the course of years), and clearly stated expectations for manuscripts for both journals (e.g., Dubois *et al.* 2011). The higher rejection and major revision rates for *Zootaxa* are mostly due to less experienced specialists with local or regional expertise recently striving to become more independent. This probably is a natural byproduct of the transitional stage between pioneering and autonomous work for certain poorly studied areas (Domínguez & Dos Santos 2014).

The fact that nearly 87% of all new mayfly species described during 2020 appeared in just *Zootaxa* and *ZooKeys* (Table 1) provides clear illustration of the trend of centralization of taxonomic publishing (Zhang 2015). This trend is apparent in Figure 2. This brings up many considerations. Following the majority of taxonomic developments is now more convenient than ever. Also, centralization of biodiversity data would seem to amplify their power (Zaspel *et al.* 2020). On the other hand, a sardonic juxtaposition is to be found in the decreasing diversity of biodiversity publishing.

Acknowledgments

We thank Zhi-Qiang Zhang (*Zootaxa* chief editor) for suggesting valuable improvements to this paper. Most importantly, we owe a debt of gratitude to the generous individuals who serve as reviewers of manuscripts, often several times per year. Annually since 2013, lists of the ca. 30–40 individuals who served as *Zootaxa* reviewers have been published in *The Mayfly Newsletter* (https://dc.swosu.edu/mayfly/), along with annual summaries and commentaries. All editors and reviewers of both journals serve on a voluntary basis, with no financial or other personal considerations provided for their work. Thus, these journals are built on the volunteer work of those who choose to serve their purposes.

References

Boonsoong, B. & Braasch, D. (2013) Heptageniidae (Insecta, Ephemeroptera) of Thailand. *ZooKeys*, 272, 61–93. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.272.3638

De Moraes, G.J. & Freire, R.A.P. (2001) A new species of Tenuipalpidae (Acari: Prostigmata) on orchid from Brazil. Zootaxa, 1, 1–10.

https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1.1.1

- Domínguez, E. (2001) *Trends in research in Ephemeroptera and Plecoptera*. Kluwer Academic / Plenum Publishers, New York, 477 pp.
- Domínguez, E. & Dos Santos, D.A. (2014) Co-authorship networks (and other contextual factors) behind the growth of taxonomy of South American Ephemeroptera: A scientometric approach. *Zootaxa*, 3754, 59–85. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3754.1.3
- Dubois, A., Minelli, A. & Zhang, Z.-Q. (2011) Recommendations about nomenclature for papers submitted to *Zootaxa*. *Zootaxa*, 2943, 58–62.

https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.2943.1.3

Jacobus, L.M., Macadam, C.R. & Sartori, M. (2019) Mayflies (Ephemeroptera) and their contributions to ecosystem services.

In: Morse, J.C. & Adler, P.H. (Eds), Diversity and Ecosystem Services of Aquatic Insects. Insects, 10(6), 170. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10060170

- Kaltenbach, T. & Gattolliat, J.-L. (2018) The incredible diversity of *Labiobaetis* Novikova & Kluge in New Guinea revealed by integrative taxonomy (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae). *ZooKeys*, 804, 1–136. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.804.28988
- Kaltenbach, T. & Gattolliat, J.-L. (2019) The tremendous diversity of *Labiobaetis* Novikova & Kluge in Indonesia (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae). *ZooKeys*, 895, 1–117.
- https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.895.38576 Kaltenbach, T. & Gattolliat, J.-L. (2020) *Labiobaetis* Novikova & Kluge in Borneo (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae). *ZooKeys*, 914, 43–79.

https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.914.47067

Kaltenbach, T., Garces, J.M. & Gattolliat, J.-L. (2020) The success story of *Labiobaetis* Novikova & Kluge in the Philippines (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae), with description of 18 new species. *ZooKeys*, 1002, 1–114. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1002.58017

Kluge, N.J. & Novikova, E.A. (2014). Systematics of *Indobaetis* Müller-Liebenau & Morihara 1982, and related implications for some other Baetidae genera (Ephemeroptera). *Zootaxa*, 3835, 209–236. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3835.2.3

Molineri, C., Salles, F.F. & Peters, J.G. (2015) Phylogeny and biogeography of Asthenopodinae with a revision of *Asthenopus*, reinstatement of *Asthenopodes*, and the description of the new genera *Hubbardipes* and *Priasthenopus* (Ephemeroptera, Polymitarcyidae). *ZooKeys*, 478, 45. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.478.8057

Novikova, E.A. & Kluge, N.J. (1987) Systematics of the genus *Baetis* (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae), with descriptions of new species from Middle Asia. *Vestnik Zoologii*, 1987(4), 8–19.

- Ogden, T.H., Breinholt, J.W., Bybee, S.M., Miller, D.B., Sartori, M., Shiozawa, D. & Whiting, M.F. (2019) Mayfly phylogenomics: Initial evaluation of anchored hybrid enrichment data for the order Ephemeroptera. *Zoosymposia*, 16, 167–181. http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zoosymposia.16.1.14
- Prokop, J. & Nel, A. (2011) New Middle Permian palaeopteran insects from Lodève Basin in southern France (Ephemeroptera, Diaphanopterodea, Megasecoptera). *ZooKeys*, 130, 41–55. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.130.1311
- Salles, F.F. & Lugo-Ortiz, C.R. (2002) A distinctive new species of *Apobaetis* (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae) from Mato Grosso and Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Zootaxa*, 35, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.35.1.1
- Salur, A., Darilmaz, M.C. & Bauernfeind, E. (2016) An annotated catalogue of the mayfly fauna of Turkey (Insecta, Ephemeroptera). *ZooKeys*, 620, 67–118. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.620.9405

Shi, W. & Tong, X. (2015) First record of the genus *Gratia* Thomas (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae) from China with the description of a new species. *ZooKeys*, 478, 129. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.478.8995

- Sun, L. & McCafferty, W.P. (2008) Cladistics, classification and identification of the brachycercine mayflies (Insecta: Ephemeroptera: Caenidae). *Zootaxa*, 1801, 1–239. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1801.1.1
- Vilenica, M., Gattolliat, J.-L., Mihaljević, Z. & Sartori, M. (2015) Croatian mayflies (Insecta, Ephemeroptera): Species diversity and distribution patterns. *ZooKeys*, 523, 99–127. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.523.6100
- Zaspel, J.M., Allen, J.M., Tyrrell, C.D., Lemoine, N., Jacobus, L.M., Klem, C., Goodwin, J. & Bates, J. (2020) Human health, interagency coordination, and the need for biodiversity data. *BioScience*, 70 (7), 527. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa065
- Zhang, Z.-Q. (2006) The making of a mega-journal in taxonomy. *Zootaxa*, 1358, 67–68. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1385.1.5
- Zhang, Z.-Q. (2014) Sustaining the development of world's foremost journal in biodiversity discovery and inventory: Zootaxa editors and their contributions. *Zootaxa*, 3753, 597–600. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3753.6.6

Zhang, Z.-Q. (2015) Defragmentation of journals enhances access and collaboration: Commentary on the occasion of Zootaxa 4,000. Zootaxa, 4000, 596–600.

https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4000.5.9