# A new synonym and distribution records of *Afroptilum* sudafricanum (Lestage) (Baetidae: Ephemeroptera) from the East African Highlands

by

## M. T. GILLIES

Whitfeld Hamsey, Lewes, Sussex, England BN8 5TD

ABSTRACT. Adult and nymphal material of Afroptilum sudafricanum (Lestage) from South Africa was compared with that of A. montanum (Kimmins) from East Africa. No consistent differences between the two could be demonstrated. A. montanum is therefore treated as a synonym of A. sudafricanum. Notes are given on the distribution of this species in highland areas of East Africa.

## INTRODUCTION

In a revision of the African species formerly placed in *Centroptilum* Eaton, Gillies (1990) showed that, with one exception, all of them should be transferred to the new genus *Afroptilum*. A. sudafricanum was selected as the type species of *Afroptilum*. Species with a well developed hind wing with a double costal spur were designated the 'sudafricanum' group.

Afroptilum sudafricanum is one of the most widespread South African mayflies. The name was originally given by Lestage (1924) to a species of *Centroptilum* with a double costal spur on the hind wing from Krantzkop, Cape Province. Barnard (1932) described the nymph, its most characteristic feature being the absence of the first gill lamella. Crass (1947) noted that in Natal and the Eastern Cape it was one of the most abundant species. Demoulin (1970) gave its area of distribution as from the Cape to Swaziland.

In his monograph, Demoulin (*loc. cit.*) had observed that A. sudafricanum (in Centroptilum) formed part of a complex of ill-defined species that included C. dicentrum Demoulin and C. montanum Kimmins. Their distinctness or otherwise from A. sudafricanum was a question he left open at that time. But it was evident that, until the nymphs were described, little progress could be made.

My account of the genus Afroptilum included a description of the nymph of A. montanum (Kimmins), based on specimens from Kenya. I regarded it as distinct from A. sudafricanum on the basis of the chaetotaxy of the legs and the apparent absence of overlap in the geographical range of the two species. At the time of writing I was unaware of the newly published records of A. sudafricanum from the Awash River basin in Ethiopia, Harrison & Hynes (1988). Thus the previous assumption of A. sudafricanum as a purely South African species was no longer valid. The whole subject called for re-examination.

## SYNONYMY OF AFROPTILUM MONTANUM

In the earlier study, (Gillies *loc. cit.*), I concluded that, although the nymphs of the two species were very close, notably in the absence of the first gill lamella and in the form of the mouthparts, the type and numbers of spine-like setae on the femora (fig. 1) provided a means for separating *A. montanum* from *A. sudafricanum*. However, I have now examined material from Groot Drakenstein (in the Natural History Museum, London), Umzinkulu, The Rocks and Izotsha R. (Albany Museum) and the Klein Vaal R. (F. M. Chutter) as well as from Tanzania, Kenya and Ethiopia and, as the figures indicate, the distribution of these setae is much the same in all the samples examined, regardless of whether they came from East or South African populations. No other differences in the nymphs have been found.

In the adults, some differences have been recorded in minor details of wing venation, notably in the hind wings. Barnard figured the latter as having 2 longitudinal veins in A. sudafricanum while Kimmins showed A. montanum as having 3. However, in a detailed study Crass (1947) showed that this character was highly variable, specimens from Natal and the Eastern Cape having either 2 veins, a trace of a 3rd vein or 3 fully developed veins.

Kimmins's (1960) figure of the  $\delta$  forceps of A. montanum shows the inner angle of the basal segment produced in 'angular tooth-like callosities'. These details were confirmed by Kopelke (1980) and are also present in a series of males from Kenya I have



Fig. 1. A. sudafricanum nymphs, showing variation in distribution of apical setae of femora. Provenance as follows: (a), (b) South Africa, SE Transvaal, Klein Vaal R., F. M. Chutter. (c) Kenya, Naivasha, R. Malewa, P. C. Barnard. (d) Ethiopia, Debra Berhan, N. of Addis Ababa, D. S. Brown.

examined. They can also be seen in males from Cape Province in the possession of the Natural History Museum, London, provided they are examined from the right angle. No such callosities are shown in Barnard's (1932) figure. In the Museum there is a further series of  $\delta \delta$  taken by Barnard on the wing, in all of which the forceps are pressed closely against the Xth sternum. In this position examination of the basal segment is difficult and no angular projections can be made out. It could be argued from this that similar distortions led to the omission of these structures from Barnard's figure. To settle the question examination of the type would be required. However, this is no longer possible since, according to Crass (1947), it has long been lost. In the absence of any other consistent differences between the two species, it is concluded that there are no grounds for continuing to refer to East African populations under the name A. montanum.

The history of this synonymy is given below.

### Afroptilum sudafricanum Lestage

1924. Centroptilum sudafricanum Lestage, Rev. Zool. Afr. 12: 344.

1932. Centroptilum sudafricanum, Barnard, Trans. R. Soc. Sth Africa 20: 224.

1947. Centroptilum sudafricanum, Crass, Ann. Natal Mus. 11: 76.

1960. Centroptilum montanum Kimmins, Bull. Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist), Entomol. 11: 345. SYN. NOV.

1964. Centroptilum sp. no. 1, Demoulin, Bull. Ann. Soc. Roy. Entomol. Belgique, C, 21: 283.

1965. Centroptilum sp. no. 1, Demoulin, Ann. Mus. Afr. Centr. 8°, Zool., 88: 102.

1980. Centroptilum montanum, Kopelke, Entomol. Abh. Mus. Tierk. Dresden 43: 113.

1988. Centroptilum montanum, Barnard & Biggs, Rev. Hydrobiol. trop. 21: 130.

1990. (partim) Afroptilum sudafricanum, Gillies, Aquatic Insects 12: 98.

1990. (partim) Afroptilum montanum, Gillies, Aquatic Insects 12: 109.

Barnard described and figured the abdominal pattern of the nymphs as being distinctive. However, material that I have seen from the Transvaal lacks any well defined pattern. The same is true of East African nymphs. In contrast, the presence of only 6 abdominal gills is highly characteristic, the gill insertions being conspicuously marked with chestnut brown. In this connection, the absence of any similar marking at the margin of the 1st abdominal tergum is a useful confirmatory character of *sudafricanum* in damaged specimens which may have lost some of their gills.

As Demoulin suggested, the nymph he described as *Centroptilum* sp no. 1, (1964), from Ruwenzori and Mount Kenya can now be referred to *A. sudafricanum*. A number of species of *Afroptilum* whose adults have double hind wing spurs have distinctive nymphs, notably *A. decipiens* Gillies and *A. paroum* (Crass). In the case of others the nymphs are not known. While doubts may remain in some cases as to their specific distinctness, without knowledge of the nymphs no final decision can be made.

## DISTRIBUTION OF A. SUDAFRICANUM

Details of collections of A. sudafricanum from north of the Zambezi River are set out in Table I. The most obvious feature of these records is the clustering at high altitudes in East Africa, none of them being from below 1650 m and some of them over 3000 m. The overall distribution of the species is shown in fig. 2. In the southern end of its distribution, in South Africa, A. sudafricanum is a widespread and common species, being found from Cape Town to eastern Zimbabwe, Harrison (1965). Oliff (1960) considered it to be typical of mountain streams, while Harrison (loc. cit.) described it as a cold stenotherm. As such, it occurs at moderate altitudes throughout the region, and only in the extreme south are conditions suitable for it at lower levels, Harrison and Elsworth, (1958). The apparent gap in distribution (with the exception of Zimbabwe) between southern subtropical and equatorial populations is almost certainly due to lack of collecting.

In East Africa, in rivers where it occurs, it is sometimes the dominant mayfly in the community. For example, in streams in the Rift Valley of Kenya, Barnard and Biggs found it (as *C. montanum*) to be the single most important species in certain streams. They noted that the catchment was highly modified by farming. In a degraded urban environment such as the Nairobi river in Kenya studied by Pacini, by far the largest part of the catch of mayflies consisted of *A. sudafricanum*.

	Afroptilum sudafricanum	
Ethiopia	Awash R. basin, Harrison & Hynes, 1988	8° 50′ N, 38° 25′ E
1700-3500 m		
"	27 km S of Asella, Arussi Prov., coll. D. S. Brown.	8° N, 38° 75' E
c. 2400 m		
,,	10 km N of Debra Berhan, coll. D. S. Brown	7°30′ N, 39° E
c. 3000 m		
,,	Bale Mts., Bale Prov., coll. D. W. Yalden	6° N, 40° E
2400–3300 m		
Tanzania	Mt. Kilimanjaro, coll. M. T. Gillies	3° S, 37° E
c. 2400 m		
	Afroptilum montanum	
Uganda	Mt. Elgon, R. Sasa, Kimmins, 1960	0°15′N, 34°50′E
3400 m		
Zaire	Kivu Prov., Kopelke, 1980	2°20′S, 28°30′E
1800 m		
Kenya	Naivasha district, Barnard & Biggs, 1988	0°45′ S, 36°20′ E
1900 m		
,,	Nairobi River, Pacini (1991)	1° 20′ S, 36° 50′ E
1650 m		
	Centroptilum sp. no. 1, Demoulin, 1964	
Uganda	Ruwenzori, Demoulin, 1964	0°30' N, 30° E
2200 m		
Kenya	Mt. Kenya, Nanyuki, Demoulin, 1964	0°01′ N, 37°05′ E
c. 1800 m		
Tanzania	Mt. Meru, Kordikorda, Demoulin, 1965	3° 15′ S, 36° 44′ E
2700 m		

TABLE 1. Records of A. sudafricanum and synonyms from East Africa.



Fig. 2. Recorded distribution of Afroptilum sudafricanum.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to Mr N. Pacini for permission to use his survey results from Kenya. I am grateful to Miss H. M. Barber of the Albany Museum for the loan of South African material of *A. sudafricanum*. I must also thank Dr F. M. Chutter for the loan of material and for reading an earlier draft of this manuscript. Dr Maureen Coetzee kindly communicated it to the Editor.

#### REFERENCES

BARNARD, K. H. 1932. South African Mayflies (Ephemeroptera). Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa 20: 201-259.

BARNARD, P. C. & J. BIGGS. 1989. Macroinvertebrates in the catchment streams of Lake Naivasha, Kenya. Revue d'Hydrobiologie tropicale 21: 127-134.

CRASS, R. S. 1947. The May-flies (Ephemeroptera) of Natal and the Eastern Cape. Annals of the Natal Museum 11: 37-110.

DEMOULIN, G. 1964. Mission H. Löffler en Afrique Orientale. Ephemeroptera. Bulletin et Annales de la Societé Royale d'Entomologie Belgique 100: 279-284.

----- 1965. Mission Zoologique de l'IRSAC en Afrique orientale (P. Basilewsky & N. Leleup), 1957. 88. Ephemeroptera. Annales du Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale. Série in-8° – Sciences Zoologiques 138: 89–114.

— 1970. Ephemeroptera des faunes éthiopienne et malgache. South African Animal Life 14: 24–170.

- GILLIES, M. T. 1990. A revision of the African species of *Centroptilum* Eaton (Baetidae, Ephemeroptera). Aquatic Insects 12: 97-128.
- HARRISON, A. D. 1965. Geographical distribution of riverine invertebrates in Southern Africa. Archiv für Hydrobiologie 61: 387-394.
- HARRISON, A. D. & J. F. ELSWORTH. 1958. Hydrobiological studies on the Great Berg River, Western Cape Province. I. General description, chemical studies and main features of the flora and fauna. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa 35: 125-226.
- HARRISON, A. D. & H. B. N. HYNES. 1988. Benthic fauna of Ethiopian mountain streams and rivers. Archiv für Hydrobiologie/Supplement 81 (1): 1-36.
- KIMMINS, D. E. 1960. Notes on East African Ephemeroptera, with descriptions of new species. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Entomology 9: 337-355.
- KOPELKE, J.-P. 1980. Ephemeroptera aus der Emergenz des zentralafrikanischen Bergbaches Kalengo (Zaire). Entomologische Abhandlungen Staatliches Museum für Tierkunde in Dresden 43: 99-129.
- LESTAGE, J. A. 1924. Les Ephémères de l'Afrique du Sud. Catalogue critique et systématique des espèces connues et description de trois genres nouveaux et de sept espèces nouvelles. *Revue de Zoologie Africaine* 12: 316-351.
- OLIFF, W. D. 1960. Hydrobiological studies on the Tugela River System. I. The main Tugela river. Hydrobiologia 14 (1959): 281-385.
- PACINI, N. 1991. A study of the macroinvertebrate fauna of a typical river under the impact of an industrial conurbation, Nairobi, Kenya. *Revue d'Hydrobiologie tropicale* in the press.

Accepted 6 November 1991