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The African genus Acanthiops Waltz and McCafferty (Ephemeroptera : Baetidae) is reviewed, redescribed, and figured. The genus is a monophyletic group, easily identified in the larval stage by its distinctive labium, dorsal abdominal armature, claw armature, and two-tailed condition. A previous synonymy of Afroptilum (subgenus Afroptiloides) Gillies with Acanthiops is corroborated. Nominal species included in Acanthiops are A. marlieri (Demoulin) from Zaire, A. tsitsa n. sp. from South Africa, A. variegatus (Gillies) n. comb. from Tanzania, and A. varius (Crass) from South Africa. There are other undescribed species more widespread in sub-Saharan Africa. Species vary considerably and express relative apotypy in development of dorsal armature. Acanthiops tsitsa is described from larvae taken in the North East Cape; it is related to A. varius, but differs in dorsal armature and mouthpart characterization.

1. Introduction

When Gillies (1990) transferred the African species that had been historically assigned to Centroptilum Eaton to his new genus Afroptilum Gillies, he also divided it into two subgenera : Afroptilum s.s. and Afroptiloides Gillies. Afroptilum sudafrikanum (Lestage) was designated the type of the genus, and A. varius (Crass) was designated the type species of the subgenus Afroptiloides. McCafferty and de Moor (1995) moved this latter species to the genus Acanthiops Waltz and McCafferty, and by so doing essentially synonymized Afroptiloides with Acanthiops. The reason for this action was not stated by McCafferty and de Moor (1995); however, it was done on the basis of data that suggested the type of Afroptiloides was only distantly related to the type of Afroptilum, and instead shared a most recent common ancestor with the type of Acanthiops, A. marlieri (Demoulin). Nevertheless, because this information has not been made available before now, and because A. marlieri is one of the most specialized, apotypic species of Baetidae in Africa, we herein present a comprehensive description of Acanthiops. We show that Acanthiops is a monophyletic genus with several gradational species, including a new species described...
from South Africa. We also show why *Afroptiloides* and its previously assigned species cannot stand as a separate taxon within the context of a strictly phylogenetic classification.

2. *Acanthiops* Waltz and McCafferty


2.1. Description

Larva

- HEAD

Labrum (Fig. 10) variously indented apicomedially.

Right mandible (Fig. 8) with incisors separated; prostheca slender; setal patch present.

Left mandible (Figs. 9, 16) with incisors fused; prostheca stout; setal patch present; molar thumb well developed and elevated above plane of incisor bases; well developed molar area.

Maxillae (Figs. 12, 14) with short, two-segmented palpus, never extending much beyond galealacinia.

Hypopharynx (Fig. 11) with marginal setae; lingua produced somewhat apicomedially.

Labium (Fig. 13) with glossae and paraglossae similar in size and subequal in length; terminal segment of palpi reduced, rounded to narrowly rounded apically, narrower than subapical palpal segment (being inset bilaterally compared with distal width of subapical segment).

- THORAX

Pro- and mesonotum [Figs. 1, 2, Fig. 3 of Demoulin (1967)] with or without paired tubercles; metanotum with or without tubercles or spines.

Legs [Figs. 1, 6, Fig. 3 of Demoulin (1967)] without femoral villopore; tibiae with or without dorsal row of hairlike setae; claws with pair of subapical, bristlelike setae, and with two rows of short denticles (Fig. 15).

- ABDOMEN

Body somewhat dorsoventrally depressed.

Abdominal segments [Figs. 1, 2, Figs. 7, 8 of Gillies (1991a)] each with mediadorsal process (small tuberclelike to large and spinelike) on terga 1-9, sometimes poorly developed on 1, 8 or 9, or absent on 1 or 9; segments not posterolaterally developed; terga with or without scales and fine setae.

Gills [Figs. 1, 5, Fig. 4 of Demoulin (1967)] slightly to greatly asymmetrical and slightly to greatly overlapping. Cerci (Figs. 1, 7) with or without hairlike setae along inner margin.

Median caudal filament reduced, not cercus-like.

Fig. 1. *Acanthiops tsitsa* n. sp., whole larva (dorsal) (échelle = 1 mm).
Fig. 1. *Acanthiops tsitsa* n. sp., vue d’ensemble dorsale de la larve (scale line = 1 mm).
Figs. 2-7. Acanthiops tsitsa n. sp. larva. 2: Abdomen, lateral view. 3: Antenna, showing minute spinelike setae. 4: Abdominal terga VII, VIII, and IX. 5: Gills I, III and V. 6: Foreleg, viewed from lateral angle; arrow indicates expanded lobe on coxa. 7: Cercus (left, dorsal), with details of setae.

Figs. 2-7. Larve d'Acanthiops tsitsa n. sp. 2: Abdomen en vue latérale. 3: Antenne et ses soies en écailles. 4: Tergites abdominaux VII, VIII et IX. 5: Branchies I, III et V. 6: Patte antérieure en vue latérale oblique; la flèche indique l'expansion coxale. 7: Cercue gauche en vue dorsale, avec détail des soies.
Adult

- THORAX

Forewings [Fig. 9 of Gillies (1991a)] with single marginal intercalaries. Hindwings present; known hindwings [Fig. 25 of Crass (1947), Fig. 10 of Gillies (1991a)] with two or three longitudinal veins and no crosseveins; costal process nonbifurcate, acute, and somewhat hooked.

- ABDOMEN

Abdomen with or without vestiges of larval dorso-medial processes. Male genitalia [Fig. 25 of Crass (1947), Fig. 12 of Gillies (1991a)] with three-segmented forceps; terminal forceps small, narrow-teardrop shaped.

2.2. Diagnosis

The combination of the distinctively reduced terminal labial palpal segment and subequal glossae and paraglossae (Fig. 13); the double row of claw denticles and the pair of bristles near the end of the claws (Fig. 15); the dorsal abdominal armature (Fig. 2, Fig. 3 of Demoulin (1967), Figs. 7, 8 of Gillies (1991a)); and the two-tailed condition will easily distinguish this genus from others. Only two of the species are known in the adult stage, and therefore adult generic description and diagnosis are preliminary. If all adults of Acanthiops prove to possess a non-bifurcate costal process in the hindwings, this would be of some diagnostic significance. Most of those species historically known as Centroptilum (a rather large grouping in Africa among those baetid mayflies with single marginal intercalaries in the forewings) possess the bifurcate costal process.

2.3. Type species


2.4. Species included

_Acanthiops marlieri_ (Demoulin), _A. tsitsa_ n. sp., _A. variegatus_ (Gillies) n. comb., and _A. varius_ (Crass). A number of unnamed species throughout much of Africa apparently belong to _Acanthiops_ as summarized by Gillies (1991a) under the name _Afroptiloides_

2.5. Distribution

Sub-Saharan Africa, with known records thus far from Ethiopia (undescribed sp.), Guinea (undescribed sp.), Malawi (undescribed sp.), South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda (undescribed sp.), and Zaire.

2.6. Discussion

Defining synapomorphic larval character states that we propose for _Acanthiops_ include the symmetrically reduced, rounded, and centered terminal labial palp segment (Fig. 13); the double row of denticles on the claws (Fig. 15); and the distinctive medial armature of the abdominal terga (Fig. 2). The development of long, apical, bristlelike setae on each claw (Fig. 15) may also represent a synapomorphy, but we cannot be entirely sure of this because a similar characteristic is present in several genera that are believed to represent more pleisiotypic lineages of Baetidae (R. D. Waltz, personal communication).

As is almost always the case in lineages of Baetidae, they must be defined by a combination of characters, and the useable synapomorphies are subject to homoplasy in an array of unrelated lineages within the family. The condition described for the terminal labial palpal segment in _Acanthiops_ is uncommon but is also found in at least two undescribed and unrelated genera of baetids in both South America and Africa (Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty, in manuscript). A similar type of labial palp is also present in _A. sudafricanum_. The fact that _A. sudafricanum_ does not share any other synapomorphies with _Acanthiops_, including those listed above as well as those found in _A. sudafricanum_ but not _Acanthiops_ (e.g., paraglossae that are broader than the glossae, and a bifurcate costal process in the hindwings) suggests to us that such a reduced palp has evolved convergently in those lineages. There is, however, a slight possibility that the two lineages share an ancestor with such a palp. Double rows of claw denticles are found convergently in disparate lineages of Baetidae, for example, in the genera _Harpagobaetis_ Möl, _Centroptiloides_ Lestage, and _Waltzyphius_ Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty; and medial dorsal tuberculation is found convergently in such unrelated baetids as _Echinobaetis_ Möl, some species of _Baeetiella_ Ueno, _Baeetodes_ Needham and Murphy, and _Gratia_ Thomas. Despite the profusion of homoplasy in Baetidae, any one of the synapomorphies we propose for _Acanthiops_, if originating independently in an immediate common ancestor of the included species, would cladistically validate our classification.

There is an apparent progression in the degree of dorsal armature development in the species of _Acanthiops_. Dorsal abdominal tubercles are smallest in the South African species _A. tsitsa_ n. sp. and _A. varius_, become larger in size in the Tanzanian _A. variegatus_, are even larger and more extensive in some undescribed species [e.g., that illustrated by Kimmins (1955) from Malawi] and finally reach their extreme as long spines

Figs. 8-14. Larve d'Acanthiops tsitsa n. sp. 8: Mandibule gauche. 9: Mandibule droite. 10: Labre en vue dorsale. 11: Hypopharynx. 12: Maxille droite. 13: Labium en vue ventrale à gauche et en vue dorsale à droite. 14: Maxille gauche.
in *A. marlieri* from Zaire. This stepwise transition suggests that certain species represent early branches of this monophyletic group while the highly specialized species *A. marlieri* is sister to one or more apotypic species.

We view the phenoclineal relationship of species as compelling evidence for our generic concept of *Acanthiops* (see Ross 1974). This stepwise transition of species furthermore indicates that the inclusion of all other species than the terminally derived *A. marlieri* in a separate genus or subgenus (i.e., *Afroptiloides*) would, by definition, result in a paraphyletic classification. On the basis of phenetic differences or by using gap criteria inherent in evolutionary classification (and historically prevalent in mayfly classification), it could be tempting to recognize *A. marlieri* as a separate taxon. However, the morphological distinctiveness of *A. marlieri* is essentially due to both the extreme transitional expression of characterization within the clade to which it belongs and its autapomorphies. These are seen in its elongate spines (the most developed), its gills (the greatest degree of asymmetry and overlapping), and the entire loss of setae in the cerci. Based on the obvious phenoclines within the clade, we must regard the absence of the dorsal row of hairlike setae on the femora of *A. marlieri* larvae as a secondary loss and therefore also an autapomorphy within *Acanthiops*. Our concept of *Acanthiops* is phylogenetic, and it is our intention, whenever cladistic evidence is available, to apply only strictly phylogenetic classifications in *Ephemeroptera* (see McCafferty 1991).

Gillies (1990) included *Afroptilum bicaudatum* Gillies in his subgenus *Afroptiloides*. Although this species shares a dorsal row of hairlike setae on the tibiae with plesiotypic species of *Acanthiops*, and reduction of the median caudal filament with all *Acanthiops*—and thus possibly is one of the species now placed in *Afroptilum* that does share some recent common ancestry with *Acanthiops*—it does not possess any of the synapomorphies by which we define the monophyletic genus *Acanthiops*, as elucidated above. Its inclusion in *Acanthiops*, in our opinion, would overly dilute the genus and compromise its integrity. The relationships and classification of this aberrant species will be taken up elsewhere after more detailed study of its characterization.

*Acanthiops* and *Afroptilum* both belong to the subfamily Cloeoninae, based on subfamilial distinctions given by Gillies (1991b) and provisionally recognized by Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty (1996a). Other than this, and assuming the similarly reduced labial palps were independently evolved, the two appear only distantly related. It should be kept in mind that the genus *Afroptilum* and subgenus *Afroptilum* s.s., as they were originally constituted, are polyphyletic (e.g., see Wuillot and Gillies 1994, Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty 1996b and 1996c) and therefore any assessment of the genus must be restricted to its type species, *A. sudafri-canum*. Other species that remain in *Afroptilum* at this time, such as *A. bicaudatum* (mentioned above), will have to be individually reviewed in light of phylogenetic relationships in order to determine their appropriate generic classification.
3. *Acanthiops tsitsa* Barber-James & McCafferty, n. sp.

3.1. Description

Larva

Body length: 6.5-7.0 mm; cerci length: ca. 4.0 mm. General coloration tawny with dark brown or pale brown markings (Fig. 1).

- **HEAD**

  With complex pattern on vertex between eyes and posterior to ocelli (Fig. 1).

  Antennae (Figs. 1, 3) subequal to width of head, with minute spinelike setae at segment articulations.

  Labrum (Fig. 10) relatively deeply notched, with sparse, long, lateral setae, and denser and shorter setae apically; dorsal labral setae with labral formula 1 + 2 (see Morihara and McCafferty, 1979).

  Maxillary palpi (Figs. 12, 14) length approximately one-third length of galealaciniae and not reaching apex of maxillae.

- **THORAX**

  Thoracic nota patterned more or less as in Figure 1. Pronotum without tuberculation or subsclerotization. Mesonotum without tubercles.

  Metanotum with hindwingpads and median tubercle.

  Legs (Fig. 6); coxae with proximally expanded lobe, best developed on foreleg; tibiae with row of hairlike setae dorsally.

- **ABDOMEN**

  Dorsal abdominal pattern more or less as in Figure 1. Terga (Fig. 4) with posterior marginal row of minute denticles and without scales and fine setae; terga 1-8 each with median tubercle, more weakly developed on posterior segments (Fig. 2).

  Gill lamellae (Figs. 1, 5) moderately asymmetrical, opaque, with dark tracheation, and lacking marginal setation or serration.

  Setation of cerci as in Figure 7.

  Adult

  Unknown.

3.2. Material examined

Holotype: mature larva, South Africa, North East Cape. Tsitsa R. at 31°00'52"S and 28°29'18"E, 1140 m 26-III-1991, H. M. Barber-James and F. C. de Moor, AM (Albany Museum, Grahamstown, South Africa) (Cat. # ECR 92A). Paratypes: one larval exuviae and mouthparts, gills and legs slide-mounted in Euparal, and four larvae, same data and deposition as holotype except collected 28-III-1993; five larvae, same data as holotype, deposited at PERC (Purdue Entomological Research Collection, West Lafayette, Indiana); five larvae and one larval exuviae, same data as holotype except collected 28-III-1993 and deposited at PERC; four larvae, same data as holotype, deposited at the Natural History Museum, London.

Other material examined. One larva, South Africa, North East Cape, Wildebees R. at 31°13'24"S, 28°03'41"E, 1380m, 26-III-1993, H. M. Barber-James and F. C. de Moor, AM; nine larvae, south africa, North East Cape, Pot R. at 30°58'34"S, 28°16'26"E, 1300m, 27-III-1993, H. M. Barber-James and F. C. de Moor, AM.

3.3. Etymology

The specific epithet is a noun in apposition from the Xhosa language meaning «the water that comes from the ground» and also is in reference to the Tsitsa River, type locality of the species in South Africa.

3.4 Remarks

*Acanthiops tsitsa* is the second species of this genus described from South Africa. Overall, it appears closely related to *A. varius*, the larvae of both having relatively poorly developed dorsal armature, and even having generally similar dorsal abdominal color patterns. The two congeners, however, can be distinguished by their dorsal abdominal armature [compare Fig. 2 of *A. tsitsa* with Fig. 7 of Gillies (1991a) of *A. varius*]. In lateral view, the tubercles of *A. tsitsa* are slightly more dorsally oriented and relatively blunt or rounded apically, especially on middle abdominal terga; the tubercle on tergum 8 is very poorly developed, and the tubercle is not developed on tergum 9. In *A. varius*, tubercles are sharper and not rounded apically and are more posteriorly oriented; also the tubercle is poorly developed on tergum 1 and 9. In addition, the labra of the two species differ, having a dorsal setal formula (see Morihara and McCafferty, 1979) of 1 + 2 in *A. tsitsa* (Fig. 10) and 1 + 4-5 in *A. varius* (Fig. 2 of Gillies (1991a)). Other distinguishing mouthpart characteristics of *A. tsitsa* include maxillary palpi that are shorter (Figs. 12, 14) than those of *A. varius* (Fig. 1 of Gillies (1991a)), marginal setae of the hypopharynx that are more developed (Fig. 11) than those of *A. varius* (Fig. 3 of Gillies (1991a)), and terminal labial palp segments that are longer and more distinctive (Fig. 13) than those of *A. varius* (Fig. 4 of Gillies (1991a)).

In rivers where *A. tsitsa* has been collected, larvae were taken in swift currents. In the Tsitsa River, they were found on bedrock, stones, and sedges; in the Wil-
debees River, they were found on bedrock; and in the Pot River, they were found on bedrock and stones, and amongst marginal vegetation.
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