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Classification.

From a very remote period in the history of the world organic beings have resembled each other in descending degrees, so that they can be classed in groups under groups. This classification is not arbitrary, like the grouping of the stars in constellations. The existence of groups would have been of simple signification, if one group had been exclusively fitted to inhabit the land, and another the water; one to feed on flesh, another on vegetable matter, and so on; but the case is widely different in Nature; for it is notorious how commonly members of even the same sub-group have different habits. In the second and fourth chapters, on Variation and on Natural Selection, I have attempted to show that within each country it is the widely-ranging, the much-diffused and common, that is, the dominant species belonging to the larger genera in each class, which vary most. The varieties, or incipient species, thus produced, ultimately become converted into new and distinct species; and these, on the principle of inheritance, tend to produce other new and dominant species. Consequently, the groups which are now large, and which generally include many dominant species, tend to go on increasing in size. I further attempted to show that, from the varying descendants of each species trying to occupy as many and as different places as pos-
sible in the economy of Nature, there is a constant tendency in their characters to diverge. This latter conclusion is supported by observing the great diversity of forms which in any small area come into the closest competition, and by certain facts in naturalization.

I attempted also to show that there is a constant tendency in the forms which are increasing in number and diverging in character, to supplant and exterminate the preceding, less divergent and less improved forms. I request the reader to turn to the diagram illustrating the action, as formerly explained, of these several principles; and he will see that the inevitable result is, that the modified descendants proceeding from one progenitor become broken up into groups subordinate to groups. In the diagram each letter on the uppermost line may represent a genus including several species; and the whole of the genera along this upper line form together one class, for all are descended from one ancient parent, and, consequently, have inherited something in common. But the three genera on the left hand have, on this same principle, much in common, and form a sub-family, distinct from that including the next two genera on the right hand, which diverged from a common parent at the fifth stage of descent. These five genera have also much, though less, in common; and they form a family distinct from that including the three genera still farther to the right hand, which diverged at a still earlier period. And all these genera, descended from (A), form an order distinct from the genera descended from (l). So that we here have many species descended from a single progenitor grouped into genera; and the genera in sub-families, families, and orders, all in one great class. Thus, the grand fact of the natural subordination of all organic beings in groups under groups, which, from its familiarity, does not always sufficiently strike us, is in my judgment explained. No doubt organic beings, like all other objects, can be classed in many ways, either artificially by single characters or more naturally by a number of characters. We know, for instance, that minerals and the elemental substances can be thus arranged; in this case there is of course no relation to genealogical succession, and no cause can at present be assigned for their falling into groups. But with organic beings the case is different, and the view above given explains their natural arrangement in group under group; and no other explanation has ever been attempted.
Naturalists, as we have seen, try to arrange the species, genera, and families in each class, on what is called the Natural System. But what is meant by this system? Some authors look at it merely as a scheme for arranging together those living objects which are most alike, and for separating those which are most unlike; or as an artificial means for enunciating, as briefly as possible, general propositions—that is, by one sentence to give the characters common, for instance, to all mammals, by another those common to all carnivora, by another those common to the dog-genus, and then, by adding a single sentence, a full description is given of each kind of dog. The ingenuity and utility of this system are indisputable. But many naturalists think that something more is meant by the Natural System; they believe that it reveals the plan of the Creator; but, unless it be specified whether order in time or space, or both, or what else is meant by the plan of the Creator, it seems to me that nothing is thus added to our knowledge. Such expressions as that famous one by Linnaeus, and which we often meet with in a more or less concealed form, that the characters do not make the genus, but that the genus gives the characters, seem to imply that something more is included in our classification than mere resemblance. I believe that something more is included, and that propinquity of descent—the only known cause of the similarity of organic beings—is the bond, hidden as it is by various degrees of modification, which is partially revealed to us by our classifications.

Let us now consider the rules followed in classification, and the difficulties which are encountered, on the view that classification either gives some unknown plan of creation, or is simply a scheme for enunciating general propositions and of placing together the forms most like each other. It might have been thought (and was in ancient times thought) that those parts of the structure which determined the habits of life, and the general place of each being in the economy of Nature, would be of very high importance in classification. Nothing can be more false. No one regards the external similarity of a mouse to a shrew, of a dugong to a whale, of a whale to a fish, as of any importance. These resemblances, though so intimately connected with the whole life of the being, are ranked as merely "adaptive or analogical characters;" but to the consideration of these resemblances we shall recur. It may even be given as a general rule, that the less any part of the organi-
zation is concerned with special habits, the more important it becomes for classification. As an instance—Owen, in speaking of the dugong, says: "The generative organs, being those which are most remotely related to the habits and food of an animal, I have always regarded as affording very clear indications of its true affinities. We are least likely in the modifications of these organs to mistake a merely adaptive for an essential character." With plants, how remarkable it is that the organs of vegetation, on which their nutrition and life depend, are of little signification; whereas the organs of reproduction, with their product the seed and embryo, are of paramount importance! So, again, in formerly discussing morphological differences which are not physiologically important, we have seen that they are often of the highest service in classification. This depends on their constancy throughout many allied groups; and the constancy depends chiefly on any slight deviations of structure in such parts not having been preserved and accumulated by natural selection, which acts only on useful characters.

That the mere physiological importance of an organ does not determine its classificatory value, is almost proved by the fact that in allied groups, in which the same organ, as we have every reason to suppose, has nearly the same physiological value, its classificatory value is widely different. No naturalist can have worked at any group without being struck with this fact; and it has been fully acknowledged in the writings of almost every author. It will suffice to quote the highest authority, Robert Brown, who, in speaking of certain organs in the Proteaceae, says, their generic importance, "like that of all their parts, not only in this, but, as I apprehend, in every natural family, is very unequal, and in some cases seems to be entirely lost." Again, in another work, he says, the genera of the Connaraceae "differ in having one or more ovaria, in the existence or absence of albumen, in the imbricate or valvular evagination. Any one of these characters singly is frequently of more than generic importance, though here even when all taken together they appear insufficient to separate Cnestis from Connarus." To give an example among insects; in one great division of the Hymenoptera, the antennae, as Westwood has remarked, are most constant in structure; in another division they differ much, and the differences are of quite subordinate value in classification; yet no one will say that the antennae in these two divisions of the
same order are of unequal physiological importance. Any number of instances could be given of the varying importance for classification of the same important organ within the same group of beings.

Again, no one will say that rudimentary or atrophied organs are of high physiological or vital importance; yet, undoubtedly, organs in this condition are often of high value in classification. No one will dispute that the rudimentary teeth in the upper jaws of young ruminants, and certain rudimentary bones of the leg, are highly serviceable in exhibiting the close affinity between Ruminants and Pachyderms. Robert Brown has strongly insisted on the fact that the position of the rudimentary florets is of the highest importance in the classification of the Grasses.

Numerous instances could be given of characters derived from parts which must be considered of very trifling physiological importance, but which are universally admitted as highly serviceable in the definition of whole groups. For instance, whether or not there is an open passage from the nostrils to the mouth, the only character, according to Owen, which absolutely distinguishes fishes and reptiles—the inflection of the angle of the jaws in Marsupials—the manner in which the wings of insects are folded—mere color in certain Algae—mere pubescence on parts of the flower in grasses—the nature of the dermal covering, as hair or feathers, in the Vertebrata. If the Ornithorhynchus had been covered with feathers instead of hair, this external and trifling character would have been considered by naturalists as an important aid in determining the degree of affinity of this strange creature to birds.

The importance, for classification, of trifling characters, mainly depends on their being correlated with several other characters of more or less importance. The value indeed of an aggregate of characters is very evident in natural history. Hence, as has often been remarked, a species may depart from its allies in several characters, both of high physiological importance and of almost universal prevalence, and yet leave us in no doubt where it should be ranked. Hence, also, it has been found that a classification founded on any single character, however important that may be, has always failed; for no part of the organization is invariably constant. The importance of an aggregate of characters, even when none are important, alone explains the aphorism by Linnaeus, namely, that the characters do not give the genus, but the genus gives the
characters; for this seems founded on an appreciation of many trilling points of resemblance, too slight to be defined. Certain plants, belonging to the Malpighiaceae, bear perfect and degraded flowers; in the latter, as A. de Jussieu has remarked, "the greater number of the characters proper to the species, to the genus, to the family, to the class, disappear, and thus laugh at our classification." But when Aspicarpa produced in France, during several years, only degraded flowers, departing so wonderfully in a number of the most important points of structure from the proper type of the order, yet M. Richard sagaciously saw, as Jussieu observes, that this genus should still be retained among the Malpighiaceae. This case seems to me well to illustrate the spirit of our classification.

Practically, when naturalists are at work, they do not trouble themselves about the physiological value of the characters which they use in defining a group or in allocating any particular species. If they find a character nearly uniform, and common to a great number of forms, and not common to others, they use it as one of high value; if common to some lesser number, they use it as of subordinate value. This principle has been broadly confessed by some naturalists to be the true one; and by none more clearly than by that excellent botanist, Aug. St. Hilaire. If certain characters are always found correlated with others, though no apparent bond of connection can be discovered between them, especial value is set on them. As in most groups of animals, important organs, such as those for propelling the blood, or for aërating it, or those for propagating the race, are found nearly uniform, they are considered as highly serviceable in classification; but in some groups of animals all these, the most important vital organs, are found to offer characters of quite subordinate value. Thus, as Fritz Müller has lately remarked, in the same group of crustaceans, Cypridina is furnished with a heart, while in two closely-allied genera, namely, Cypris and Cytherea, there is no such organ: one species of Cypridina has well-developed branchiae, while another species is destitute of them.

We can see why characters derived from the embryo should be of equal importance with those derived from the adult, for a natural classification of course includes all ages. But it is by no means obvious, on the ordinary view, why the structure of the embryo should be more important for this purpose than that of the adult, which alone plays its full part in the economy of Nature. Yet it has been strongly urged by those great
naturalists, Milne Edwards and Agassiz, that embryological characters are the most important of all; and this doctrine has very generally been admitted as true. Nevertheless, their importance has sometimes been exaggerated; in order to show this, Fritz Müller arranged by the aid of such characters the great class of crustaceans, and the arrangement did not prove a natural one. But there can be no doubt that characters derived from the embryo are generally of the highest value, not only with animals but with plants. Thus the two main divisions of flowering plants are founded on differences in the embryo—on the number and position of the cotyledons, and on the mode of development of the plumule and radicle. We shall immediately see why these characters possess so high a value in classification, namely, from the natural system being genealogical in its arrangement.

Our classifications are often plainly influenced by chains of affinities. Nothing can be easier than to define a number of characters common to all birds; but in the case of crustaceans, such definition has hitherto been found impossible. There are crustaceans at the opposite ends of the series, which have hardly a character in common; yet the species at both ends, from being plainly allied to others, and these to others, and so onward, can be recognized as unequivocally belonging to this, and to no other class of the Articulata.

Geographical distribution has often been used, though perhaps not quite logically, in classification, more especially in very large groups of closely-allied forms. Temminck insists on the utility or even necessity of this practice in certain groups of birds; and it has been followed by several entomologists and botanists.

Finally, with respect to the comparative value of the various groups of species, such as orders, sub-orders, families, sub-families, and genera, they seem to be, at least at present, almost arbitrary. Several of the best botanists, such as Mr. Bentham and others, have strongly insisted on their arbitrary value. Instances could be given among plants and insects, of a group of forms, first ranked by practised naturalists as only a genus, and then raised to the rank of a sub-family or family; and this has been done, not because further research has detected important structural differences, at first overlooked, but because numerous allied species, with slightly-different grades of difference, have been subsequently discovered.

All the foregoing rules and aids and difficulties in classifi-
eation are explained, if I do not greatly deceive myself, on the view that the Natural System is founded on descent with modification; that the characters which naturalists consider as showing true affinity between any two or more species, are those which have been inherited from a common parent, all true classification being genealogical; that community of descent is the hidden bond which naturalists have been unconsciously seeking, and not some unknown plan of creation, or the enunciation of general propositions, and the mere putting together and separating objects more or less alike.

But I must explain my meaning more fully. I believe that the arrangement of the groups within each class, in due sub-ordination and relation to each other, must be strictly genealogical in order to be natural; but that the amount of difference in the several branches or groups, though allied in the same degree in blood to their common progenitor, may differ greatly, being due to the different degrees of modification which they have undergone; and this is expressed by the forms being ranked under different genera, families, sections, or orders. The reader will best understand what is meant, if he will take the trouble to refer to the diagram in the fourth chapter. We will suppose the letters A to L to represent during the Silurian epoch allied genera, descended from some still earlier forms. In three of these genera (A, F, and I) the species have transmitted modified descendants to the present day, represented by the fifteen genera (a' to z') on the uppermost horizontal line. Now all these modified descendants from a single species, are related in blood or descent to the same degree; they may metaphorically be called cousins to the same millionth degree; yet they differ widely and in different degrees from each other. The forms descended from A, now broken up into two or three families, constitute a distinct order from those descended from F, also broken up into two families. Nor can the existing species, descended from A, be ranked in the same genus with the parent A; or those from F, with the parent F. But the existing genus F' may be supposed to have been but slightly modified; and it will then rank with the parent-genus F; just as some few still living organisms belong to Silurian genera. So that the amount or value of the differences between these organic beings which are all related to each other in the same degree in blood, has come to be widely different. Nevertheless, their genealogical arrangement remains strictly true, not only at the present time, but at each successive period of descent.
All the modified descendants from A will have inherited something in common from their common parent, as will all the descendants from I; so will it be with each subordinate branch of descendants, at each successive stage. If, however, we suppose any descendant of A or of I to have been so much modified as to have lost all traces of its parentage, in this case, its place in the natural system will likewise be lost—as seems to have occurred with some few existing organisms. All the descendants of the genus F, along its whole line of descent, are supposed to have been but little modified, and they form a single genus. But this genus, though much isolated, will still occupy its proper intermediate position. This natural arrangement is shown in the diagram as far as is possible on paper, but in much too simple a manner. If a branching diagram had not been used, and only the names of the groups had been written in a linear series, it would have been still less possible to have given a natural arrangement; and it is notoriously not possible to represent in a series, on a flat surface, the affinities which we discover in Nature among the beings of the same group. Thus, on the view which I hold, the natural system is genealogical in its arrangement, like a pedigree; but the amount of modification which the different groups have undergone has to be expressed by ranking them under different so-called genera, sub-families, families, sections, orders, and classes.

It may be worth while to illustrate this view of classification, by taking the case of languages. If we possessed a perfect pedigree of mankind, a genealogical arrangement of the races of man would afford the best classification of the various languages now spoken throughout the world; and if all extinct languages, and all intermediate and slowly-changing dialects, had to be included, such an arrangement would be the only possible one. Yet it might be that some ancient languages had altered very little and had given rise to few new languages, while others had altered much, owing to the spreading, isolation, and state of civilization of the several co-descended races, and had thus given rise to many new dialects and languages. The various degrees of difference between the languages of the same stock would have to be expressed by groups subordinate to groups; but the proper or even only possible arrangement would still be genealogical; and this would be strictly natural, as it would connect together all languages, extinct and recent, by the closest affinities, and would give the filiation and origin of each tongue.
In confirmation of this view, let us glance at the classification of varieties, which are believed or known to be descended from a single species. These are grouped under the species, with the sub-varieties under the varieties; and in some cases, as with domestic pigeons, several other grades of difference are requisite. Nearly the same rules are followed as in classifying species. Authors have insisted on the necessity of arranging varieties on a natural instead of an artificial system; we are cautioned, for instance, not to class two varieties of the pineapple together, merely because their fruit, though the most important part, happens to be nearly identical; no one puts the Swedish and common turnips together, though the esenlent and thickened stems are so similar. Whatever part is found to be most constant, is used in classing varieties: thus the great agriculturist Marshall says the horns are very useful for this purpose with cattle, because they are less variable than the shape or color of the body, etc.; whereas with sheep the horns are much less serviceable, because less constant. In classing varieties, I apprehend that if we had a real pedigree, a genealogical classification would be universally preferred; and it has been attempted in some cases. For we might feel sure, whether there had been more or less modification, the principle of inheritance would keep the forms together which were allied in the greatest number of points. In tumbler pigeons, though some of the sub-varieties differ in the important character of having a longer beak, yet all are kept together, from having the common habit of tumbling; but the short-faced breed has nearly or quite lost this habit; nevertheless, without any thought on the subject, these tumblers are kept in the same group, because allied in blood and alike in some other respects.

With species in a state of nature, every naturalist has in fact brought descent into his classification; for he includes in his lowest grade, that of the species, the two sexes; and how enormously these sometimes differ in the most important characters, is known to every naturalist: scarcely a single fact can be predicated in common of the adult males and hermaphrodites of certain cirripedes, and yet no one dreams of separating them. As soon as the three Orchidean forms, Monachanthus, Myanthus, and Catasetum, which had previously been ranked as three distinct genera, were known to be sometimes produced on the same plant, they were immediately considered as varieties; and now I have been able to show that they are the
male, female, and hermaphrodite forms of the same species. The naturalist includes as one species the various larval stages of the same individual, however much they may differ from each other and from the adult, as well as the so-called alternate generations of Steenstrup, which can only in a technical sense be considered as the same individual. He includes monsters and varieties, not from their partial resemblance to the parent-form, but because they are descended from it.

As descent has universally been used in classing together the individuals of the same species, though the males and females and larvae are sometimes extremely different; and as it has been used in classing varieties which have undergone a certain, and sometimes a considerable amount of modification, may not this same element of descent have been unconsciously used in grouping species under genera, and genera under higher groups, all under the so-called natural system? I believe it has been unconsciously used; and thus only can I understand the several rules and guides which have been followed by our best systematists. We have no written pedigrees; we have to make out community of descent by resemblances of any kind. Therefore we choose those characters which, as far as we can judge, are the least likely to have been modified in relation to the conditions of life to which each species has been recently exposed. Rudimentary structures on this view are as good as, or even sometimes better than, other parts of the organization. We care not how trifling a character may be—let it be the mere inflection of the angle of the jaw, the manner in which an insect's wing is folded, whether the skin be covered by hair or feathers—if it prevail throughout many and different species, especially those having very different habits of life, it assumes high value; for we can account for its presence in so many forms with such different habits, only by inheritance from a common parent. We may err in this respect in regard to single points of structure, but when several characters, let them be ever so trifling, concur throughout a large group of beings having different habits, we may feel almost sure, on theory of descent, that these characters have been inherited from a common ancestor. And we know that such correlated or aggregated characters have special value in classification.

We can understand why a species or a group of species may depart, in several of its most important characteristics, from its allies, and yet be safely classed with them. This may
be safely done, and is often done, as long as a sufficient number of characters, let them be ever so unimportant, betrays the hidden bond of community of descent. Let two forms have not a single character in common, yet if these extreme forms are connected together by a chain of intermediate groups, we may at once infer their community of descent, and we put them all into the same class. As we find organs of high physiological importance—those which serve to preserve life under the most diverse conditions of existence—are generally the most constant, we attach especial value to them; but if these same organs, in another group or section of a group, are found to differ much, we at once value them less in our classification. We shall presently see why embryological characters are of such high classificatory importance. Geographical distribution may sometimes be brought usefully into play in classing large genera, because all the species of the same genus, inhabiting any distinct and isolated region, are in all probability descended from the same parents.

**Analogue Resemblances.**—We can understand, on the above views, the very important distinction between real affinities and analogical or adaptive resemblances. Lamarck first called attention to this distinction, and he has been ably followed by M'ray and others. The resemblance in the shape of the body and in the fin-like anterior limbs, between the dugong, which is a pachydermatous animal, and the whale, and between both these mammals and fishes, is analogical. Among insects there are innumerable instances: thus Linnaeus, misled by external appearances, actually classed an homopterous insect as a moth. We see something of the same kind even in our domestic varieties, as in the thickened stems of the common and Swedish turnips. The resemblance of the greyhound and race-horse is hardly more fanciful than the analogies which have been drawn by some authors between widely-distinct animals. On my view of characters being of real importance for classification, only in so far as they reveal descent, we can clearly understand why analogical or adaptive characters, although of the utmost importance to the welfare of the being, are almost valueless to the systematists. For animals belonging to two most distinct lines of descent may readily have become adapted to similar conditions, and thus have assumed a close external resemblance; but such resemblances will not reveal—will rather tend to conceal their blood-relationship. We can thus also understand the appar-
ent paradox, that the very same characters are analogical when one class or one order is compared with another, but give true affinities when the members of the same class or order are compared together: thus, the shape of the body and fin-like limbs are only analogical when whales are compared with fishes, being adaptations in both classes for swimming through the water; but the shape of the body and fin-like limbs serve as characters exhibiting true affinity between the several members of the whale family; for these cetaceans agree in so many characters, great and small, that we cannot doubt that they have inherited their general shape of body and structure of limbs from a common ancestor. So it is with fishes.

The most remarkable case of analogical resemblance ever recorded, though not dependent on adaptation to similar conditions of life, is that given by Mr. Bates with respect to certain butterflies in the Amazonian region closely mimicking other kinds. This excellent observer shows that in a district where, for instance, an Ithomia abounds in gaudy swarms, another butterfly, namely, a Leptalis, is often found mingled in the same flock, and so closely resembles the Ithomia in every shade and stripe of color, and even in the shape of its wings, that Mr. Bates, with his eyes sharpened by collecting during eleven years, was, though always on his guard, continually deceived. When the mockers and the mocked are caught and compared, they are found to be totally different in essential structure, and to belong, not only to distinct genera, but often to distinct families. Had this mimicry occurred in only one or two instances, it might have been passed over as a strange coincidence. But, if we proceed from a district where one Leptalis imitates an Ithomia, another mocking and mocked species belonging to the same genera, equally close in their resemblance, will be found. Altogether no less than ten genera are enumerated, which include species that imitate other butterflies. The mockers and mocked always inhabit the same region; we never find an imitator living remote from the form which it imitates. The mockers are almost invariably rare insects; the mocked in almost every case abound in swarms. In the same district in which a species of Leptalis closely imitates an Ithomia, there are sometimes other Lepidoptera mimicking the same Ithomia; so that in the same place, species of three genera of butterflies and even a moth are found all closely resembling a butterfly belonging to a fourth
genus. It deserves especial notice that many of the mimicking forms of the Leptalis, as well as of the mimicked forms, can be shown by a graduated series to be merely varieties of the same species; while others are undoubtedly distinct species. But why, it may be asked, are certain forms treated as the mimicked and others as the mimickers? Mr. Bates satisfactorily answers this question, by showing that the form which is imitated keeps the usual dress of the group to which it belongs, while the counterfeiters have changed their dress and do not resemble their nearest allies.

We are next led to inquire what reason can possibly be assigned for certain butterflies and moths so often assuming the dress of another and quite distinct form; why, to the perplexity of naturalists, has Nature condescended to the tricks of the stage? Mr. Bates has, no doubt, hit on the true explanation. The mocked forms, which always abound in numbers, must habitually escape destruction to a large extent, otherwise they could not exist in such swarms; and Mr. Bates never saw them preyed on by birds and certain large insects which attack other butterflies. He has good reason to believe that this immunity is owing to a peculiar and offensive odor which they emit. The mocking forms, on the other hand, that inhabit the same district, are comparatively rare, and belong to rare groups; hence they must suffer habitually from some danger, for otherwise, from the number of eggs laid by all butterflies, they would in three or four generations swarm over the whole country. Now if a member of one of these persecuted and rare groups were to assume a dress so like that of a well-protected species that it continually deceived the practised eyes of an entomologist, it would often deceive predacious birds and insects, and thus escape much destruction. Mr. Bates may almost be said to have actually witnessed the process by which the mimickers have come so closely to resemble the mimicked; for he found that some of the forms of Leptalis which mimicked so many other butterflies, varied in an extreme degree. In one district several varieties occurred, and of these one alone resembled, to a certain extent, the common Ithomia of the same district. In another district there were two or three varieties, one of which was much commoner than the others, and this closely mocked another form of Ithomia. From facts of this nature, Mr. Bates concludes that the Leptalis first varies; and when a variety happens to resemble in some degree any common butterfly inhabiting the same district,
this variety, from its resemblance to a flourishing and little-persecuted kind, has a better chance of escaping destruction from predacious birds and insects, and is consequently oftener preserved; “the less perfect degrees of resemblance being generation after generation eliminated, and only the others left to propagate their kind.” So that here we have an excellent illustration of natural selection.

Mr. Wallace has recently described several equally striking cases of mimicry in the Lepidoptera of the Malay Archipelago, and other instances could be given with other orders of insects. Mr. Wallace has also described one case of mimicry among birds, but we have no such cases with the larger quadrupeds. The much greater frequency of mimicry with insects than with other animals, is probably the consequence of their small size; insects cannot defend themselves, excepting indeed the kinds that sting, and I have never heard of an instance of these mocking other insects, though they are mocked; insects cannot escape by flight from the larger animals; hence they are reduced, like most weak creatures, to trickery and dissimulation.

But to return to more ordinary cases of analogical resemblance: as members of distinct classes have often been adapted by successive slight modifications to live under nearly similar circumstances—to inhabit, for instance, the three elements of land, air, and water—we can perhaps understand how it is that a numerical parallelism has sometimes been observed between the sub-groups in distinct classes. A naturalist, struck by a parallelism of this nature in any one class, by arbitrarily raising or sinking the value of the groups in other classes (and all our experience shows that their valuation is as yet arbitrary) could easily extend the parallelism over a wide range; and thus the septenary, quinary, quaternary, and ternary classifications have probably arisen.

On the Nature of the Affinities connecting Organic Beings.—As the modified descendants of dominant species, belonging to the larger genera, tend to inherit the advantages which made the groups to which they belong large and their parents dominant, they are almost sure to spread widely, and to seize on more and more places in the economy of Nature. The larger and more dominant groups within each class thus tend to go on increasing in size; and they consequently supplant many smaller and feeble groups. Thus we can account for the fact that all organisms, recent and extinct, are included
under a few great orders, and under still fewer classes. As showing how few the higher groups are in number, and how widely they are spread throughout the world, the fact is striking, that the discovery of Australia has not added an insect belonging to a new class; and that in the vegetable kingdom, as I learn from Dr. Hooker, it has added only two or three families of small size.

In the chapter on Geological Succession I attempted to show, on the principle of each group having generally diverged much in character during the long-continued process of modification, how it is that the more ancient forms of life often present characters in some degree intermediate between existing groups. Some few of these old and intermediate forms having transmitted to the present day descendants but little modified, constitute our so-called oselniunt or aberrant species. The more aberrant any form is, the greater must be the number of connecting forms which have been exterminated and utterly lost. And we have some evidence of aberrant groups having suffered severely from extinction, for they are almost always represented by extremely few species; and such species as do occur are generally very distinct from each other, which again implies extinction. The genera Ornithorhynchus and Lepidosiren, for example, would not have been less aberrant had each been represented by a dozen species instead of by a single one, or by one or two. We can, I think, account for this fact only by looking at aberrant groups as forms which have been conquered by more successful competitors, with a few members still preserved under unusually favorable conditions.

Mr. Waterhouse has remarked that, when a member belonging to one group of animals exhibits an affinity to a quite distinct group, this affinity in most cases is general and not special: thus, according to Mr. Waterhouse, of all Rodents, the bizcacha is most nearly related to Marsupials; but in the points in which it approaches this order, its relations are general, and not to any one marsupial species more than to another. As the points of affinity are believed to be real and not merely adaptive, they must be due, in accordance with our view, to inheritance from a common progenitor. Therefore we must suppose either that all Rodents, including the bizcacha, branched off from some ancient Marsupial, which will naturally have been more or less intermediate in character with respect to all existing Marsupials; or that both Rodents and Marsupials branched off from a common progenitor, and that both
groups have since undergone much modification in divergent directions. On either view we must suppose that the bizacaha has retained, by inheritance, more of the character of its ancient progenitor than have other Rodents; and therefore it will not be specially related to any one existing Marsupial, but indirectly to all or nearly all Marsupials, from having partially retained the character of their common progenitor, or of some early member of the group. On the other hand, of all Marsupials, as Mr. Waterhouse has remarked, the Phaseolomys resembles most nearly, not any one species, but the general order of Rodents. In this case, however, it may be strongly suspected that the resemblance is only analogical, owing to the Phaseolomys having become adapted to habits like those of a Rodent. The elder De Candolle has made nearly similar observations on the general nature of the affinities of distinct families of plants.

On the principle of the multiplication and gradual divergence in character of the species descended from a common progenitor, together with their retention by inheritance of some characters in common, we can understand the excessively complex and radiating affinities by which all the members of the same family or higher group are connected together. For the common progenitor of a whole family, now broken up by extinction into distinct groups and sub-groups, will have transmitted some of its characters, modified in various ways and degrees, to all the species; and they will consequently be related to each other by circuitous lines of affinity of various lengths (as may be seen in the diagram so often referred to), mounting up through many predecessors. As it is difficult to show the blood-relationship between the numerous kindred of any ancient and noble family even by the aid of a genealogical tree, and almost impossible to do so without this aid, we can understand the extraordinary difficulty which naturalists have experienced in describing, without the aid of a diagram, the various affinities which they perceive between the many living and extinct members of the same great natural class.

Extinction, as we have seen in the fourth chapter, has played an important part in defining and widening the intervals between the several groups in each class. We may thus account for the distinctness of whole classes from each other—for instance, of birds from all other vertebrate animals—by the belief that many ancient forms of life have been utterly lost, through which the early progenitors of birds were formerly
connected with the early progenitors of the other and at that time less differentiated vertebrate classes. There has been less complete extinction of the forms of life which once connected fishes with batrachians. There has been still less in some other classes, as with the Crustacea, for here the most wonderfully diverse forms are still linked together by a long and only partially-broken chain of affinities. Extinction has only separated the groups: it has by no means made them; for, if every form which has ever lived on this earth were suddenly to reappear, though it would be quite impossible to give definitions by which each group could be distinguished, still a natural classification, or at least a natural arrangement, would be possible. We shall see this by turning to the diagram: the letters, A to L, may represent eleven Silurian genera, some of which have produced large groups of modified descendants, with every link in each branch and sub-branch still alive; and the links not greater than those between the finest varieties. In this case it would be quite impossible to give definitions by which the several members of the several groups could be distinguished from their more immediate parents and descendants. Yet the arrangement in the diagram would still hold good and would be natural; for, on the principle of inheritance, all the forms descended, for instance, from A, would have something in common. In a tree we can distinguish this or that branch, though at the actual fork the two unite and blend together. We could not, as I have said, define the several groups; but we could pick out types, or forms, representing most of the characters of each group, whether large or small, and thus give a general idea of the value of the differences between them. This is what we should be driven to, if we were ever to succeed in collecting all the forms in any one class which have lived throughout all time and space. Assuredly we shall never succeed in making so perfect a collection: nevertheless, in certain classes, we are tending toward this end; and Milne Edwards has lately insisted, in an able paper, on the high importance of looking to types, whether or not we can separate and define the groups to which such types belong.

Finally, we have seen that natural selection, which results from the struggle for existence, and which almost inevitably leads to extinction and divergence of character in the descendants from one dominant parent-species, explains that great and universal feature in the affinities of all organic beings, namely, their subordination in group under group. We use the ele-
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ment of descent in classing the individuals of both sexes and of all ages under one species, although they may have but few characters in common; we use descent in classing acknowledged varieties, however different they may be from their parent; and I believe this element of descent is the hidden bond of connection which naturalists have sought under the term of the Natural System. On this idea of the natural system being, in so far as it has been perfected, genealogical in its arrangement, with the grades of difference expressed by the terms genera, families, orders, etc., we can understand the rules which we are compelled to follow in our classification. We can understand why we value certain resemblances far more than others; why we use rudimentary and useless organs, or others of trifling physiological importance; why, in finding the relations between one group and another, we summarily reject analogical or adaptive characters, and yet use the same characters, within the limits of the same group. We can clearly see how it is that all living and extinct forms can be grouped together within a few great classes; and how the several members of each class are connected together by the most complex and radiating lines of affinities. We shall never, probably, disentangle the inextricable web of the affinities between the members of any one class; but when we have a distinct object in view, and do not look to some unknown plan of creation, we may hope to make sure but slow progress.

Prof. Haeckel, in his "Generelle Morphologie" and in several other works, has recently brought his great knowledge and abilities to bear on what he calls phylogeny, or the lines of descent of all organic beings. In drawing up the several series he trusts chiefly to embryological characters, but draws aid from homologous and rudimentary organs, as well as from the successive periods at which the various forms of life first appeared in our geological formations. He has thus boldly made a great beginning, and shows us how classification will in the future be treated.

Morphology.

We have seen that the members of the same class, independently of their habits of life, resemble each other in the general plan of their organization. This resemblance is often expressed by the term "unity of type;" or by saying that the several parts and organs in the different species of the class are homol-
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Kidney-bean, acclimatization of, 146.
Kidneys of birds, 141.
Kirby, on tarsi deficient in beetles, 410.
Knight, Andrew, on cause of variation, 32.
Kölreuter, on the barberry, 100.
on sterility of hybrids, 231.
on reciprocal crosses, 342.
on crossed varieties of nicotiana, 259.
on crossing male and hermaphrodite flowers, 405.
Lamarck, on adaptive characters, 233.
Lancelet, 123.
eyes of, 189.
Land-shells, distribution of, 361.
of Madeira, naturalized, 265.
resisting salt-water, 262.
Languages, classification of, 260.
Lapse, great, of time, 268.
Larve, 396.
Laurel, nectar secreted by the leaves, 95.
Lancriform formation, 201.
Laws of variation, 137.
Leech, varieties of, 81.
Leguminose, nectar secreted by glands, 95.
Leibnitz's attack on Newton, 430.
Lepidoolcen, 107, 308.
Limbs in a nascent condition, 406.
Mammals, fossil, in secondary formation, 288.

Insular, 339.

Man, origin of races of, 133.

Manatee, rudimentary nails of, 403.

Marsupials of Australia, 113.

fossil species of, 316.

Martens, M., experiment on seeds, 332.

Martin, Mr. W. C., on striped mules, 163

Masters, Dr., on Saponaria, 131.

Matured, on the electric organs of rays, 187.

Matthiola, reciprocal crosses of, 212.

Means of dispersal, 331.

Melipon domesticia, 217.

Merriell, Dr., on the American cuckoo, 299.

Metamorphosis of oldest rocks, 292.

Mice destroying bees, 80.

acclimatization of, 115.

Miller, Prof., on the cells of bees, 218, 221.

Mirabilis, crosses of, 212.

Missel-thrush, 81.

Mistletoe, complex relations of, 19.

Mocking-thrush of the Galapagos, 366.

Modification of species, how far applicable, 431.

Moles, blind, 141.

Mongrels, fertility and sterility of, 256.

and hybrids compared, 360.

Monkeys, fossil, 288.

Monachanthus, 331.

Mont Van, on the origin of fruit-trees, 39.

Monstrosities, 52.

Monquin-Tandon, on sea-side plants, 138.

 Morphology, 390.

Mozart, musical powers of, 202.

Mud, seeds in, 353.

Mules, striped, 163.

Müller, Dr. Ferdinand, on Alpine Australian plants, 352.

Müller, Fritz, on dimorphic crustaceans, 35, 290.

on the lanceet, 124.

on air-breathing crustaceans, 156.

on the self-sterility of orchids, 237.

on embryology in relation to classification, 373.

on the metamorphoses of crustaceans, 393, 403.

on terrestrial and fresh-water organisms not undergoing any metamorphoses, 401.

on the metamorphoses of insects, 402.

Multiplication, indefinite, of species, 132.

Murchison, Sir R., on the formations of Russia, 271.

on azoic formations, 291.

on extinction, 299.

Murray, Mr. A., on cave-insects, 143.

Mustela, vision, 173.

Myanthus, 381.

Myrmecocystus, 223.

Myrmica, eyes of, 229.

Nägeli, on morphological characters, 129.

Nails, rudimentary, 408.

Nathusius, von, on ples, 193.

Natural history, future progress of, 433.

selection, 84.
INDEX.

Natural system, 374.
Naturalization of forms distinct from the indigenous species, 113.
in New Zealand, 197.
Naudin, on analogous variations in gourds, 159.
on hybrid gourds, 239.
on reversion, 261.
Nautius, Silurian, 260.
Nectar of plants, 15.
Nectaries, how formed, 95.
Neoluminae luteum, 354.
Nests, variation in, 201, 223, 231.
Neuter insects, 226.
Newman, Colonel, on humble-bees, 79.
New Zealand, productions of, not perfect, 197.
naturalized products of, 315.
fossil birds of, 317.
glacers of, 342.
crustaceans of, 343.
algae of, 346.
number of plants of, 353.
flora of, 363.
Newton, Sir I., attacked for irreligion, 429.
Prof., on earth attached to a partridge’s foot, 333.
Nicotiana, crossed varieties of, 360.
certain species very sterile, 224.
Noble, Mr., on fertility of Rhododendron, 237.
Nodules, phosphatic, in azoic rocks, 291.
Oaks, variability of, 60.
Emonis, small imperfect flowers of, 129.
Unites appelles, 110.
Orchestra, dimorphic, 55.
Orchids, fertilization of, 188.
forms of, 381.
Orchis, pollen of, 183.
Organization, tendency to advance, 121.
Organs of extreme perfection, 178.
electric, of fishes, 183.
of little importance, 190.
homologous, 391.
rudiments of, and nascent, 404.
Ornithorhynchus, 107, 376.
Ostrich not capable of flight, 140.
habit of laying eggs together, 212.
American, two species of, 324.
Otter, habits of, how acquired, 173.
Onzel, water, 176.
Owen, Prof., on birds not flying, 139.
on vegetative repetition, 151.
on variability of unusually developed parts, 152.
on the eyes of fishes, 180.
on the swim-bladder of fishes, 183.
on the branchiae of cirripedes, 183.
on electric organs, 184.
on fossil horse of La Plata, 300.
on relations of ruminants and pachyderms, 307.
on fossil birds of New Zealand, 317.
on succession of types, 316.
on affinities of the dugong, 374.
on homologous organs, 391.
on the metamorphosis of cephalopods, 397.
Pacific Ocean, faunas of, 324.
Pacini, on electric organs, 185.
Palley, no organ formed to give pain, 196.
Pallas, on the fertility of the domesticated descendants of wild stocks, 239.
Palm with hooks, 192.
Paraguay, cattle destroyed by flies, 78.
Parasites, 212.
Partridge, with ball of earth attached to foot, 331, 335.
Parts greatly developed, variable, 152.
Parus major, 176.
Passiflora, 236.
Peaches in United States, 89.
Pear, grafts of, 245.
Pelargonium, flowers of, 118.
sterility of, 237.
Pelvis of women, 147.
Pelor, 148.
Period, glacial, 337.
Petrels, habits of, 177.
Phasianus, fertility of hybrids, 239.
Pheasant, young, wild, 203.
Phillips, Prof., on fresh-water shells, 314.
Pictet, Prof., on groups of species suddenly appearing, 286, 287.
on rate of organic change, 296.
on continuos succession of genera, 298.
on change in latest tertiary forms, 292.
on close alliance of fossils in consecutive formations, 312.
on on early transitional links, 387.
Pierce, Mr., on varieties of wolves, 93.
Pigeons with feathered feet and skin between toes, 26.
breeds described, and origin of, 33.
breeds of, how produced, 46, 49.
tumbler, not being able to get out of egg, 90.
reverting to blue color, 161.
instinct of tumbling, 297.
young of, 399.
Pigs, black, not affected by the paint-root, 26.
modified by want of exercise, 133.
Pistil, rudimentary, 405.
Plants, poisons, not affecting certain colored animals, 28.
selection applied to, 43.
gradual improvement of, 45.
not improved in barbarous countries, 46.
destroyed by insects, 75.
dimorphic, 55, 233.
in midst of range, have to struggle with other plants, 82.
nectar of, 95.
fleshy, on sea-shores, 138.
climbing, 342.
fresh-water, distribution of, 353.
low in scale, widely-distributed, 367.
Plumage, laws of change in sexes of birds, 93.
Plains in the United States, 89.
Pointer dog, origin of, 44.
habits of, 207.
Poison not affecting certain colored animals, 26.
similar effect of, on animals and plants, 432.
Pollen of fir-trees, 198.
transported by various means, 183, 195.
Pool, Colonel, on striped hemionis, 162.
Potamogeton, 334.
Prewit, Mr., on English and French cocene formations, 309.
Prototrupes, 177.
Proteles, 150.
Proteus, 143.
Psychology, future progress of, 436.
Quagga, striped, 163.
Quercus, variability of, 60.
Quince, grafts of, 245.
Rabbit, disposition of young, 207.
Races, domestic, characters of, 29.
Race-horses, Arab, 44.
English, 329.
Ramond, on plants of Pyrenees, 339.
Ramsay, Prof., on suberial denudation, 269.
on thickness of the British formations, 271.
on faults, 270.
Ramsay, Mr., on instincts of cuckoo, 282.
Ratio of increase, 71.
Rats supplanting each other, 81.
acclimatization of, 145.
blind in cave, 142.
Rattlesnake, 196.
Reason and instinct, 201.
Recapitulation, general, 412.
Reciprocity of crosses, 242.
Record, geological, imperfect, 366.
Renger on dies destroying cattle, 73.
Reproduction, rate of, 71.
Resemblance to parents in mongrels and hybrids, 261.
Reversion, law of inheritance, 28.
in pigeons to blue color, 160.
Rhododendron, sterility of, 328.
Richard, Prof., on Aspicarpa, 377.
Richardson, Sir J., on structure of squirrels, 173.
on dishes of the southern hemisphere, 315.
Robinia, grafts of, 245.
Rodents, blind, 141.
Rogers, Prof., Map of North America, 277.
Rudimentary organs, 404.
Rudiments important for classification, 376.
Rüttmeyer, on Indian cattle, 31, 239.
Salamandra atra, 405.
Sallya used in nests, 225.
Sageret on grafts, 245.
Salmon, males, fighting, and hooked jaws of, 91.
Salt-water, how far injurious to seeds, 392.
not destructive to land-shells, 351, 362.
INDEX.

Salter, Mr., on early death of hybrid cramboes, 349.
Sanaphagus sulphuratus, 176.
Schidte, on blind insects, 142.
Schliegel, on snakes, 147.
Scott, J., Mr., on the self-sterility of orchids, 237.
the crossing of varieties of ver bascum, 239.
Sea-water, how far injurious to seeds, 392.
not destructive to land-shells, 361, 362.
Sebright, Sir J., on crossed animals, 32.
Sedgwick, Prof., on groups of species suddenly appearing, 286.
Seedlings destroyed by insects, 71.
Seeds, nutrient in, 82.
winged, 149.
means of dissemination, 157, 194, 234.
powers of resisting salt-water, 232.
in crops and intestines of birds, 333.
eaten by fish, 324, 355.
in mud, 353.
hooked, on islands, 338.
Selection of domestic products, 40.
principle not of recent origin, 43.
unconscious, 43.
natural, 84.
sexual, 90.
options to term, 87.
natural, has not induced sterility, 216.
Sexes, relations of, 90.
Sexual characters variable, 156.
selection, 90.
Sheep, Merino, their selection, 41.
two sub-breeds unintentionally produced, 44.
mountain, varieties of, 81.
Shells, color of, 238.
hinges of, 188.
littoral, seldom embedded, 273.
fresh-water, long retain the same forms, 314.
fresh-water, dispersal of, 233.
of Madeira, 357.
land, distribution of, 333.
land, resisting salt-water, 361, 362.
Silene, infertility of crosses, 241.
Silliman, Prof., on blind rat, 142.
Sitaris, metamorphosis of, 402.
Skulls of young mammals, 192, 393.
Slave-making instinct, 212.
Smith, Col. Hamilton, on striped horses, 163.
Mr. Fred, on slave-making ants, 214.
on nenter ants, 229.
Snake with tooth for cutting through egg-shell, 212.
Somerville, Lord, on selection of sheep, 41.
Sorbus, grafts of, 245.
Spanish, King Charles's breed, 44.
Specialization of organs, 131.
Species, polymorphic, 64.
dominant, 64.
common, variable, 62.
in large, semi-variable, 64.
groups of, suddenly appearing, 286, 289.
beneath Silurian formations, 291.
Species successively appearing, 295.
changing simultaneously throughout the world, 303.
Spencer, Lord, on increase in size of cattle, 44.
Herbert, Mr., on the first steps in differentiation, 136.
Mr. H., on the tendency to an equilibrium in all forces, 232.
Sphex, parasitic, 212.
Spiders, development of, 337.
Spitz-dog crossed with fox, 257.
Sports in plants, 25.
Sprengel, C. C., on crossing, 109.
on ray-dots, 148.
Squirrels, gradations in structure, 174.
Stag-beetles, fighting, 91.
Star-fishes, 77.
Stalks, 225.
Sting, 159.
St.-Ililaire, production of, 336.
St.-Hilaire, Aug., variability of certain plants, 130.
on classification, 377.
St. Helena, productions of, 336.
St.-Hilaire, August, variability of certain plants, 130.
on classification, 377.
St. John, Mr., on habits of cats, 205.
Sting of bee, 197.
Stocks, aboriginal, of domestic animals, 32.
Strata, thickness of, in Britain, 271.
Stripes on horses, 162.
Structure, degrees of utility of, 191.
Structure fo utility, 69.
Succession, geological, 295.
Succession of types in same areas, 216.
Swallow, one species supplanting another, 81.
Swifts, nests of, 223.
Swim-bladder, 183.
Switzerland, lake habitations of, 31.
System, natural, 314.
Tail of giraffe, 191.
of aquatic animals, 191.
rudimentary, 406.
Tails, dimorphic, 55.
Tar, deficient, 140.
Tausch, on umbelliferous, 129.
Teeth and hair correlated, 147.
rudimentary, in embryonic calf, 406, 498.
Tegetmeier, Mr., on cells of bees, 219, 223.
Temminck on distribution, aiding classification, 378.
Thompson, Sir W., on the age of the habitable world, 272, 284.
Thomson, on grafts, 245.
Thrush, aquatic species of, 177.
mocking of the Galapagos, 366.
young of, spotted, 305.
nest of, 322.
Thuret, Mr., on crossed fuel, 242.
Thwaites, Mr., on acclimatization, 144.

Tierra del Fuego, dogs of, 207.
plants of, 243.
Timber, 333.
Time, lapse of, 268.
by itself not causing modification, 105.
Titmouse, 176.
Toads on islands, 358.
Tobacco, crossed varieties of, 259.
Tomes, Mr., on the distribution of bats, 330.
Torell, on a monocotyledonous Cambrian plant, 291.
Transitions in varieties rare, 168.
Trawls, on intermediate varieties, 279.
Trees on islands belonging to peculiar orders, 357.
with separated sexes, 101.
Trifolium pratense, 73, 97.
incarnatum, 97.
Trigonia, 200.
Trilobites, 290.
sudden extinction of, 352.
Trimorphism in plants, 55, 255.
Troglodytes, 232.
Tucu-tuco, blind, 141.
Tumbler pigeons, habits of, hereditary, 207.
young of, 400.
Turkey-cock, tuft of hair on breast, 92.
naked skin on head, 192.
young of, instinctively wild, 203.
Turnip and cabbage, analogous varieties of, 159.
Type, unity of, 200.
Types, succession of, in same areas, 316.
Udders enlarged by use, 25.
rudimentary, 405.
Ulex, young leaves of, 395.
Umbelliferous, flowers and seeds of, 129.
outer and inner florets of, 148.
Unity of type, 200.
Uria aerymanes, 94.
Use, effects of, under domestication, 26.
effects of, in a state of nature, 139.
Utility, how far important in the construction of each part, 193.
Valenciennes on fresh-water fish, 322.
Variability of mongrels and hybrids, 260.
Variation under domestication, 22.
caused by reproductive system being affected by conditions of life, 23.
under nature, 52.
laws of, 137.
correlated, 25, 146, 191.
Variations appear at corresponding ages, 27, 89.
alogous in distinct species, 158.
Varieties, natural, 52.
struggle between, 80.
domestic, extinction of, 109.
transitional, rarity of, 168.
when crossed, fertile, 257.
when crossed, sterile, 256.
classification of, 381.
Verbascum, sterility of, 257.
Verbascom, varieties of, crossed, 239.
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Verlot, M., on double stocks, 227.
Verneuil, M. de, on the succession of species, 304.
Viola, small imperfect flowers of, 129.
Virchow, on the structure of the crystal-lens, 189.
Volcanic islands, denudation of, 270.
Vulture, naked skin on head, 192.

Wading-birds, 353.
Wagner, Moritz, on the importance of isolation, 105.
on Cecidomyiidea, 394.
Wallace, Mr., on origin of species, 17.
on the limit of variation under domestication, 50.
on laws of geographical distribution, 329.
on the Malay Archipelago, 390.
on dimorphic lepidoptera, 55, 230.
on races in the Malay Archipelago, 57.
on mimetic animals, 386.
Walshe, Mr. B. D., on phytophagic forms, 58.
on equalable variability, 159.
Water, fresh, productions of, 351.
Water-hen, 177.
Waterhouse, Mr., on Australian marsupials, 113.
on greatly-developed parts being variable, 152.
on the cells of bees, 217.
on general affinities, 387.
Water-ousel, 377.
Watson, Mr. H. C., on range of varieties of British plants, 67.
on acclimatization, 141.
on flora of Azores, 335.
on Alpine plants, 338.
on rarity of intermediate varieties, 179.
on convergence, 132.
on the indefinite multiplication of species, 132.
Weale, Mr., on locusts transporting seeds, 334.
Web of feet in the water-birds, 177.
Weismann, Prof., on the causes of variability, 23.
on morphological characters, 127.
on rudimentary organs, 408.

West-Indian Islands, mammals of, 360.
Westwood, on species in large genera being closely allied to others, 66.
on the tar-
on the antennae of hymenopterous insects, 258.
Wheat, varieties of, 111.
White Mountains, flora of, 337.
Whittaker, Mr., on lines of escarpment, 269.
Widura, Max., on hybrids, 250, 251, 261.
Wings, reduction of size, 140.
on insects homologous with branchie, 183.
rudimentary, in insects, 405.
Wolf crossed with dog, 206.
on Falkland Isles, 359.
Wollaston, Mr., on varieties of insects, 58.
on fossil varieties of shells in Madeira, 62.
on colors of insects on sea-shore, 138.
on wingless beetles, 141.
on rarity of intermediate varieties, 170.
on insular insects, 325.
on land-shells of Madeira, naturalized, 355.
Wolves, varieties of, 93.
Woodcock, with ear attached to leg, 335.
Woodpecker, habits of, 176.
green color of, 192.
Woodward, Mr., on the duration of specific forms, 219.
on the continuous succession of genera, 298.
on the succession of types, 317.
World, species changing simultaneously throughout, 303.
Wrens, nest of, 232.
Wyman, Prof., on correlation of color and effect of poison, 36.
on the cells of the bee, 218.

Youatt, Mr., on selection, 41.
on sub-breeds of sheep, 44.
on rudimentary horns in young cattle, 498.

Zanthoxylon, 130.
Zebra, stripes on, 162.

THE END.